

DRI vs Anil Kumar & ors.
SC No. 7256/2016

18.08.2017

Present: Ms. Mala Sharma, Ld. SPP for the DRI.

Accused Anil Kumar in JC.

Accused Manu Khosla in JC with counsel for Sh. Vivek Tandon
Ld. Counsel for accused.

Accused Amit Kumar Singh @ Amit Singh in JC with Sh. S. S.
Dass and Sh. Kuljeevan Siddharth Ld. Counsel for accused.

Sh. Diwakar Joshi (PW-3) is present. He is cross-examined on behalf of accused Amit Kumar Singh @ Amit Singh. However, Ld. Counsel for accused Manu Khosla requests for adjournment as he is not prepared with the cross-examination. Heard. Cross-examination of PW-3 is deferred for the next date.

Apart from that, on the last date Ld. Counsel for accused Amit Kumar Singh @ Amit requested to call the case property and Fortuner car to demonstrate the fact that the 40 bags of narcotic cannot be kept in Fortuner car. Despite directions, the case property and the Fortuner car have not been produced.

Ld. SPP for DRI submits that the case property may not be produced in the Court as the same are lying at different places. The vehicle is lying at Nangloi and the contraband/narcotic substance is lying at New Custom House, IGI Airport.

Keeping in view the submissions and circumstances, the DRI is duty bound to produce the case property in order to bring home the guilt of the accused persons. The reluctant attitude of complainant is seems to be avoid the directions of the Court for which they will face the consequence thereof. Accordingly, under the circumstances, complainant is directed to comply the order passed by the Court time to time as well as previous directions thereto .

Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. SPP for DRI as well as be sent

to DG, DRI for information and necessary compliance.

Matter be listed **on 31.08.2017 for prosecution evidence.**

(Dr. Satinder Kumar Gautam)
Special Judge (NDPS Act)
South District, Saket/18.08.2017