

SC 7256/2016  
DRI Vs. ANIL KUMAR ORS

09.04.2026

**Present:** Sh. Satish Aggarwala and Ms. Mala Sharma, Ld. SPP for DRI.  
Accused Anil Kumar on bail.  
Accused Manu Khosla on bail appeared through VC.  
Accused Amit Singh produced from JC.  
Ms. Mamta Barik, Ld. Counsel for accused Anil Kumar.  
Sh. S.S. Das, Ld. Counsel for accused Amit Singh and Manu Khosla.

Matter is fixed for PE.

No PW is present.

As per record, the instant complaint came to be filed in the year 2013 and charge in the present matter was framed on 02.04.2016 and since then the matter is lingering on at the stage of evidence on behalf of DRI for the past 10 years. Despite making it clear on several occasions, neither any PW is present today nor the list of witnesses sought to be examined today was filed on behalf of DRI either before this court or to the accused persons. This shows the lackadaisical approach on the part of the investigating agency which has not been able to even provide the list of witnesses sought to be examined despite specific directions to this effect.

In these circumstances, one last and final opportunity granted to DRI to lead entire PE, subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- to be deposited in DLSA, South, Saket, New Delhi. It is made clear that in case no witness is examined on the next date of hearing, no further opportunity in this regard shall be granted to DRI.

Further, DRI is again directed to furnish the name of the witnesses to be examined today itself. Ld. SPP for DRI submits

*Contd...*

that at least 15 days time may be given to lead evidence. At her request, 15 days time is granted to DRI to lead PE despite the fact that it is one of the oldest case of this court.

Put up for filing of receipt of cost/ PE on **25.04.2026**.

(Ajay Garg)  
Spl. Judge-NDPS/ASJ (South)  
Saket Courts, New Delhi/09.04.2026