

SC No. 69/2022
DRI VS. MOHAMED MILLER MUHIDIN

27.02.2023

Present :- Ms. Mala Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the DRI.

Accused produced from JC.

PW2 Govind Bharadwaj, PW Prashant and PW Sunil Bagotia, present.

Accused submits that he is no longer represented by Sh. JS Khushwaha, Advocate and he seeks Legal Aid Counsel.

The Remand Advocate Sh. Sushil Kumar appointed in this court is not present in the court. Upon being called telephonically by the staff, he states that he is in Tis Hazari court today and cannot come to the court at any time.

Remand Advocate is under instructions from DLSA to be present in this court from 10am to 4pm. Absence of Remand Advocate in the court, prejudices the interest of the accused languishing in the jail.

Remand Advocate Sh. Sushil Kumar is warned to be careful in future and to be available in the court on all working days between 10am to 4pm or atleast be available in the Saket Courts Complex so that he can be immediately available on call.

In such circumstances, **Sh. Manu Seth, Advocate**, who is another Legal Aid Counsel empanelled with DLSA for Sessions matters and who was representing the accused as the Legal Aid Counsel during remand proceedings and thus, is aware of the basic facts of this case is **appointed as Amicus Curiae** in this matter to represent the accused.

PW3 Sh. Prashant Singh and PW4 Sh. Sunil Bagotia, examined in chief.

As Sh. Manu Seth, Advocate has been appointed today itself, he seeks some time to cross examine PW2 Sh. Govind Bharadwaj, PW3 Sh. Prashant Singh and PW4 Sh. Sunil Bagotia. **Allowed.**

To come up for **cross examination of PW2 and PW3 on 08.05.2023.**

Copy of this order be sent to DLSA for information and necessary action.

(Monika Saroha)
Special Judge-NDPS/ASJ (South)
Saket Courts/27.02.2023