

SC No.6808/16
FIR No.924/14
PS: Neb Sarai
State vs. Sushil Kumar & Ors.

05.05.2017

Present: Mr. Rakesh Mehta, Addl. Public Prosecutor for State.
Both accused produced from JC.
Mr. Rajiv Jain, Amicus Curie, for accused Sushil Kumar.
Mr. Akrar M. Khan, Ld. counsel for accused Raj Kumar.

Witness namely Sandeep Kumar is present. He has been examined, cross examined as PW6 and discharged.

Summons to witness HC Rajender received back with the report that he has expired. Death certificate is duly attached alongwith the report on summons. Accordingly, his name be deleted from the list of witnesses.

Both accused have admitted the report of scene of crime prepared by HC Rajender Singh (Finger Print Proficient), Mobile Crime Team dated 15.10.2014. Joint statement of both accused in this regard has been recorded separated. The report is Ex. PX.

Summons issued to IO Inspector Jasmohinder received back duly served. However, he is not present despite service. Hence, issue B/Ws in the sum of Rs.5000/- against the IO for the next date of hearing.

At this stage, accused Raj Kumar says that his application for interim bail is still pending for consideration.

I have carefully perused the record.

Verification report to the said application was called

(Contd...2)

and same is already on record which shows that wife of accused who has to allegedly undergo some treatment for eye ailment and is staying with her parents. Even otherwise, no medical documents in support thereof has been filed on record.

Record further shows that old mother of accused Raj Kumar is having other four sons who have to take care of her.

Considering the fact that accused is facing trial for serious offence u/s 302 IPC, I am not inclined to grant interim bail to the accused. Hence, application is dismissed.

Be put up for remaining PE on **01.07.2017.**

Copy of this order be sent to Jail Superintendent concerned.

(Sunena Sharma)
Additional Sessions Judge-03 (South)
Saket Courts/New Delhi/05.05.2017