

IN THE COURT OF DISTRICT JUDGE-02, SOUTH,
SAKET COURTS COMPLEX, NEW DELHI

24 PC 37/2011 5912/16
AMINA KIDWAI Vs. STATE

21.11.2025

Present: Mohd. Abid and Sh. Hardeep, Ld. counsels for the plaintiff.

Sh. Chirag Alagh and Sh. Naman, Ld. counsels for the respondents.

1. Receipt showing deposition of cost imposed vide order dated 04.11.2025 on the petitioner is filed today. Same is taken on record.

2. Arguments heard on application u/o XIV rule 5 CPC read with section 151 CPC moved by petitioner.

3. Ld. counsel for petitioner has submitted that in issue no. 1, inadvertently grant of succession could not be mentioned and therefore, he seeks modification/ rectification of issue no. 1 framed vide order dated 06.08.2014, which was framed as thus:

“1. Whether the petitioner is entitled for grant of letter of administration in respect of half of the property B-28, Diplomatic Enclave, CHBS Ltd., known as Westend colony, Delhi.”

4. Ld. counsel for respondents do not have any objection if the said issue is framed as per law.

5. In view thereof, issue no. 1 which was framed on 06.08.2014 is hereby amended/ modified/ rectified here under:

“1. Whether the petitioner is entitled for grant of letters of administration/ succession certificate in respect of half of the property B-28, Diplomatic Enclave, CHBS Ltd., known as Westend colony, Delhi.”

6. Accordingly, application at hand is allowed and disposed off.

7. Written submissions were already placed on record in the year 2019.

8. Final arguments heard on behalf of both the parties.

9. Put up for **judgment** on **22.11.2025**.

(Arul Varma)

District Judge-02/South District/
Saket Courts/New Delhi/21.11.2025