

CS DJ 287/19

ANIL KUMAR Vs. RAJU AND ORS.

11.05.2022

Present: None for the plaintiff.

Sh. SS Chaudhary-Ld. Counsel for the defendant no. 2 and 3
along with defendant no. 2 and 3.

Sh. Vinod Kumar-Bailiff from SDM office Model Town.

Bailiff submits that plaintiff is not residing at the address mentioned in the plaint, and therefore, his financial status report cannot be filed by the SDM Model Town. Let report, whatever may be filed by the SDM in writing.

Counsel for the defendant no. 2 and 3 submits that he had already filed WS as well as reply to the application u/o XXXIII CPC. Copy of WS/reply was sent to the plaintiff by way of speed post which has been returned.

Copy of WS and reply is retained on record to be supplied to the plaintiff as and when he comes.

Put up for filing of reply of SDM and for further proceedings
on **21.12.2022**.

(Harish Kumar)
ADJ-02/ North/ Rohini Court
Delhi/11.05.2022