

2 SC 4/2022

STATE Vs. HARPAL SINGH alias HANNY

16/2009

(Malviya Nagar)

U/S- 395/397/412/437/34 IPC

CNR-DLST01-000059-2022

TARGETED CASE

11.05.2026

Present: Mr. Santosh Kumar, Additional Public Prosecutor (APP) for State.

Mr. Yogesh Kumar and Mr. Harpreet Singh Gandhi, Advocates for the accused Satya Prakash @ Satte and Shakil.

Mr. Ajay Sharma, Advocate for the accused Harpal Singh alias Hanny.

Mr. Snehil Srivastava, proxy counsel for the accused Kuldeep Singh @ Sonu.

Proceedings against accused Javed already abated vide order dated 20.01.2012.

Proceedings against accused Amit already abated vide order dated 18.01.2016.

Accused Harpal Singh Hanny, Shakir and Kuldeep Singh alias Sonu are present on bail.

Accused/UTP Satya Prakash alias Satte produced from Central Jail No. 13, Mandoli Jail, PID No. 283287.

1. Summons stands served upon IO/SI Ajay Kumar, Ahlmad of the Court with regard to case file ***State vs Harpal Singh @ Hanny & Ors. – SC 3/2022*** arising out of FIR No. 24/2009, PS Hauz Khas and MHC (M) PS Malviya Nagar. Service reports are taken on record.

2. At this stage, a request letter dated 08.05.2026 has been received through *dak* a few minutes ago from the office of Jail Superintendent, Central Jail No. 13, Mandoli, Delhi -110093 with regard to the bail status of accused/UTP Satya Prakash alias Satte in relation to FIR Nos. 449/2008, 24/2009 and 16/2009. Same is taken on record.
3. Case record perused. It is found that accused Satya Prakash @ Satte was enlarged on bail *vide* order dated 11.07.2025. Bail bonds and surety bonds tendered by him in compliance of grant of bail order were accepted *vide* order dated 04.09.2025.
4. Accordingly, the application stands allowed. Let a copy of this order be transmitted to the concerned Jail Superintendent for information and compliance.
5. Further, on perusal of the case record, it is also observed that IO/SI (now Inspector) Ajay Kumar has already recorded his testimony as PW-21 on 21.11.2022, 06.05.2023, 15.04.2024 and 24.03.2025. Further, SI Banwari Lal, MHC (M), PS Malviya Nagar has also recorded his testimony as PW-22 on 05.05.2025.
6. In short, the summons have been wrongly issued to IO/SI (now Inspector) Ajay Kumar, MHC (M) PS Malviya Nagar.
7. The statements of Sudhir Bisht as PW-23 and HC Ajeet Singh, MHC (M) PS Hauz Khas as PW-24 recorded separately and the same were read over to them in compliance with Section 278, CrPC.
8. As per the list of prosecution witnesses filed along with the charge sheet, it is found the following witnesses remain unexamined:
 - 8.1. Serial 16 – ASI Anand Prakash

9. The case record reveals that ASI Anand Prakash is cited as a prosecution witness and has been summoned multiple times. It is not out of place to observe that the proceedings against the accused, Javed and Amit alias Sonu Chikna already stands abated *vide* order dated 20.01.2012 and 18.01.2016, respectively.
10. At this stage, learned APP for the State submits that no other prosecution witnesses are to be examined. Accordingly, the **P.E. stands closed**. Now to come up for recording the statement of accused persons under Section 313, CrPC on **20.05.2026**.

(Hargurvarinder Singh Jaggi)
ASJ (FTC)–01, South District
Saket, New Delhi/11.05.2026