

SUMIT AGGARWAL Vs. FIROZ RANA

06.08.2024

Present:- Sh. Ranjeet Kumar Pathak and Sh. Sumit Aggrawal,
Ld. counsel for the petitioner.
None on behalf of respondent no.1.
Sh. Ankit Kalra, Ld. counsel for
respondent/insurance co. through V/C and also
proxy counsel Sh. Pushpender for
respondent/insurance co.
Sh. J.A. Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for respondent
no.2.
Adv. Ms. Taniya Madaan, Ld. Court Commissioner
through V/C.

Perusal of record reveals that one counsel Sh. A.S.
Rana was appearing for respondents no.1&2, however today Adv.
Sh. J.A. Chaudhary has appeared and submits that he is only
representing respondent no.2.

The Tribunal is not inclined to issue any notice to
respondent no.1 as it is the duty of the respondent to either appear
in person or engage a counsel duly authorized who may appear
before the Tribunal. However, in the interest of justice, court
notice be issued to respondent no.1 with the caveat whatever
report on the court notice comes that shall not influence the trial
in the present matter in any manner. Last & final opportunity is
given to respondent no.2 to complete the recording of deposition
within six weeks and thereafter within four weeks
respondent/insurance co. shall complete the recording of
deposition before Ld. Court Commissioner.

-2-

Let memo of appearance or vakalatnama be filed on behalf of respondent no.2 during the course of the day today itself.

Put up for report of Ld. Court Commissioner/appearance of respondent no.1 on **24.10.2024**.

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi
06.08.2024

At 11.25 a.m.

At this stage, Adv. Sh. J.A. Chaudhary, Ld. counsel for respondent no.2 has appeared with respondent no.2 and filed his vakalatnama, same is taken on record.

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi
06.08.2024