

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. Anil Nitin, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
None for D2.

Process issued to D1 received back unserved with report 'no such person residing at given address'.

Plaintiff is directed to file the fresh and correct address of the D1 within 10 days. Subsequent to which, summons of the suit and notice of the application be issued to D2, on filing of PF, returnable for NDOH.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that in terms of order dated 24.05.2025, he has already supplied the complete paper book to D2 on the very same day against proper receipt. Heard.

No WS has been filed on behalf of D2 so far. It seems that D2 does not wants to contest the present suit. **Accordingly, the right of filing WS on behalf of D2 is hereby closed.**

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff further submits that one Neeraj, stated to be brother of D1, has trespassed in the suit property which is violation of interim order dated 24.05.2025 and therefore, seeks liberty to file an appropriate application in this regard. Heard. He is at liberty to proceed as per law.

Matter is adjourned.

Put up for further proceedings on 22.12.2025.

Interim order to continue till NDOH.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

9 CS 1112/18
SANKET BHANSALI Vs. RENU DUA AND ORS

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. M.K. Dua and Sh. Kapil Verma, Ld. Counsels for plaintiff.
None for defendants. **(Ex-parte vide order dated 18.09.2019).**

Today, matter is listed for remaining PE.

No PW is present.

An application dated 02.09.2025 for summoning the witnesses has been filed on behalf of plaintiff. Same be taken on record.

Submissions heard. Record perused.

For the reason mentioned in the application and considering the submissions made, the aforesaid application is disposed of as allowed.

Let summons be issued to the witnesses alongwith relevant record, as mentioned in the application, on filing of PF, returnable for NDOH. Diet money shall be paid at the spot.

Put up for remaining PE on 23.12.2025.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

10 CS 855/19
RAKESH KUMAR Vs. MUKESH SHARMA

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. S.C. Goyal, Ld. Counsel for plaintiffs with plaintiffs.
Sh. Ravi Bhushan, Ld. Counsel for defendants with D2.

Mediation report received as 'unsettled'.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for the parties jointly submits that they still wants to explore the possibility of the settlement between the parties, outside the court and therefore, request for adjournment. Heard.

At request, matter is adjourned.

Put up for further proceedings on 22.12.2025.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. Rohit, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Sumit Verma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Ms. Krishma, Ld. Proxy counsel for Sh. Saurav Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for defendant.

Today, matter is listed for PE.

No PW is present.

At this stage, Ld. Proxy counsel for the plaintiff seeks adjournment on the ground that main counsel is not available today being unwell. In support of the same, he has filed the medical certificate. Same be taken on record. Not opposed. Heard.

At request, matter is adjourned.

Put up for PE on 06.01.2026.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. Hoshiyar Singh, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Sh. Permanand Jaint and Sh. Om Prakash, Ld. Counsels for the
D2 with D2.
None for D1.

Mediation report received as 'unsettled'.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for D2 submits that the LR's of the deceased Dharamvir have not been made party to the present suit, to which, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff seeks time to move an appropriate application in this regard. Heard. He is at liberty to proceed as per law.

Matter is adjourned.

Put up for further proceedings on 07.01.2026.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

14 CS 1605/16

RAVINDER KUMAR Vs. M/S PARSVNATH DEVELOPERS LTD

03.09.2025

Present:- Sh. Ajay Kaushik, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Sh. Akshat Jain (D/3748/2024), Ld. proxy counsel for Sh.
Nitish Harsh Gupta, Ld. Counsel for defendant through VC.

Today, matter is listed for DE.

No DW is present.

At this stage, Ld. Proxy counsel for the defendant, on instructions, submits that the defendant does not want to lead further DE and wants to close the same. Heard.

In view of the submissions, DE is hereby closed.

Matter is adjourned.

Put up for final arguments on 13.10.2025.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

03.09.2025

Present:- Appellant in person.
Sh. Pradeep Sharma and Ms. Palak Sharma, Ld. Counsels for
LRs of deceased R1.
None for R2.
Sh. Naipal Singh, Ld. Counsel for R3 through VC.

Today, matter is listed for arguments on the application under
Order 41 Rule 27 CPC and appeal.

At this stage, appellant has filed the fresh Vakalatnama of his
counsel. Same be taken on record.

At this stage, appellant seeks adjournment on the ground that
his counsel is not available today. Same is opposed. Heard.

Perusal of the record shows that on 08.10.2024, adjournment
was sought on behalf of Ld. Proxy Counsel for appellant on the ground
that the appellant was not in his contact and thereafter, matter was
adjourned for 20.01.2025. However, on 20.01.2025, none had appeared
on behalf of the parties, thereafter, matter was again adjourned for
26.03.2025.

Surprisingly, on the said date also, adjournment was again
being sought on behalf of Ld. Proxy counsel for appellant on the ground
that the main counsel is not available being unwell and considering the
submissions, last and final opportunity was granted to the appellant to
address arguments and thereafter, matter was adjourned for 15.05.2025.
Even on 15.05.2025, son of the appellant had appeared and sought
adjournment on the ground that he wants to change his counsel and
considering the submissions, no adverse order was being passed on that
day and matter was again adjourned for 14.07.2025. On 14.07.2025,
adjournment was again being sought on behalf of Ld. Counsel for

appellant and in the interest of justice, last opportunity was granted to the appellant to address arguments for the NDOH i.e. today with noting, that matter shall proceed in accordance with law in case of non compliance.

Despite several opportunities having been granted earlier, the appellant has continuously sought adjournments on one ground or the other. Multiple previous occasions, the appellant was specifically cautioned that no further adjournments would be granted and he must be ready to advance arguments. However, even today, the appellant again prayed for adjournment without showing any sufficient or justifiable reason. This conduct demonstrates a lack of diligence and a deliberate attempt to delay the proceedings.

It is trite that a litigant, after being afforded reasonable opportunities, cannot claim an indefinite right to defer the matter at his convenience. The court cannot be compelled to adjourn matters endlessly to the prejudice of the opposite party and to the detriment of the administration of justice.

Having regard to the repeated conduct of the appellant, this court is left with no option but to close his right to address arguments. **Accordingly, the right of appellant to address arguments is hereby closed. Appellant is also burdened with the cost of Rs. 5,000/- each to be paid to R1 and R3 on the NDOH.**

Arguments heard on behalf of R1.

Matter is adjourned.

Put up for arguments on appeal as well as on the aforesaid application on behalf of R2 and R3 on 20.11.2025.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

21 RCA DJ 23/18
LATE KHAJAN SINGH Vs. GANGA RAM

03.09.2025

Present:- Ms. Khushboo, LR of appellant.
Sh. A.C. Singhal, Ld. Counsel for respondent with respondent.

Today, matter is listed for order.
Be awaited.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

23 CS 65/24
SANDEEP SINGH Vs. Vandna Mehta

03.09.2025

Present:- None for plaintiff.
None for D1 to D5.
(Right to file WS by D1 to D5 closed on 23.07.2024).

Today, matter is listed for order on application under Order 39
Rule 1 and 2 CPC.

Be awaited.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025

CS No.1188/16
Devki vs. Sangeeta Sagar

03.09.2025

(At 12.43 PM)

**File taken up on an application for summoning of witnesses,
filed on behalf of plaintiff.**

Present:- None for applicant/plaintiff.

As none has appeared on behalf of plaintiff/applicant, matter is
adjourned.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. 18.09.2025.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/03.09.2025