

MCD APPL No. 2/24

Tula Ram Vs. Municipal Corporation Of Delhi

03.09.2024

Present: Appellant through Sh. Raj Kumar Tomar,
Advocate.

None for the respondent.

Counsel appearing on behalf of appellant says that he has been engaged Yesterday and therefore, not ready with the file to argue the matter. He is also not aware as to whether the copy of reply has been received by erstwhile counsel or not.

In such circumstances, when appellant is enjoying interim protection and indulging into such careless behavior, the adjournment cannot be without any consequences. Accordingly, list the matter for arguments on **22.10.2024**, subject to cost of Rs. 2000/- to be deposited by the appellant in NDDL SA, PHC.

At this stage, Mr. Shashank Shekhar, proxy on behalf of Sh. Pritish Sabharwal, Advocate for respondent has appeared and supplied copy of the reply to the counsel for appellant.

List as already fixed.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)

Principal District & Sessions Judge

New Delhi District

Patiala House Courts/ND/03.09.2024

Later

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for Appellant Mr. Raj Kumar Tomar has put in appearance and filed his Vakalatnama. Taken on record.

(Vimal Kumar Yadav)

Principal District & Sessions Judge

New Delhi District

Patiala House Courts/ND/03.09.2024