

PBFD010028152023



IA-03-2026 dated 04.02.2026

State versus Kushaldeep Singh Dhillon etc.

FIR No.13 dated 16.05.2023
U/Ss 13(1)(B), 13(2) PC Act
PS Vigilance Bureau, Ferozepur.

Present: Shri Gurjagpal Singh Brar, learned Advocate for the
applicant
Shri Pankaj Taneja, Special Public Prosecutor for the State.

1) Heard on an application moved by applicant Kushaldeep Singh for granting permission to visit Australia from 15.06.2026 to 15.07.2026. It is averred in the application that the niece of applicant/accused namely Raabiah Kaur Dhillon daughter of Jasonpreet Singh Dhillon is a student of University of New South Wales Sydney, Australia and is residing at 186-188 Anzac Parade Kensington NSW. The applicant/accused is having joint family and common mess with his brother Jasonpreet Singh Dhillon. The niece of applicant/accused Raabiah Kaur Dhillon is having vacations from 15.06.2026 to 15.07.2026 and being love and affection with his niece, the applicant/accused wants to visit Australia and Newzeland from 15.06.2026 to 15.07.2026. The applicant is ready to abide by all the condition imposed by the court at the time of allowing the application. The applicant undertakes that he will return India within prescribed period granted by the court. Accordingly, prayer is made for allowing the application.

2) Upon notice, Shri Pankaj Taneja, learned Special Public Prosecutor appeared for the State and filed reply through Deputy Superintendent of Police, submitting therein that being a matter of record and needs, authenticity of the claim is to be verified. It is further submitted that keeping in view the gravity of the offence as financial implications are involved, the same be taken into consideration regarding

the travel of applicant to abroad. It is further submitted that authenticity of the claim submitted by the applicant is required to be verified and proper undertaking in this pretext must be taken from the applicant. It is further submitted that proper undertaking in this pretext must be taken from the applicant/accused. With these submission, he prayed for dismissal of the application.

3) I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

4) The applicant has sought permission to go abroad from 15.06.2026 to 15.07.2026 with the undertaking that he would return from abroad well within time limit as ordered by the Court. In the case law titled *Ajay Vir Sehgal Vs. Central Bureau of Investigation 2005 (3) RCR (Criminal) 318*, the petitioner was given liberty to go abroad subject to deposit of cash amount along with surety bonds. Keeping in view the facts of the case and circumstances mentioned in the application, the present application moved by applicant Kushaldeep Singh Dhillon seeking permission to visit Australia from 15.06.2026 to 15.07.2026, stands allowed and the applicant is permitted to go Australia for the aforesaid period, with an undertaking that he shall come back within the stipulated period with no extension of time period and will join the proceedings of this case and further subject to the undertaking that his counsel will appear on his behalf on the dates of hearing of this case and during the aforesaid period proceedings will be conducted in the presence of his counsel and the accused/applicant will not dispute his identity at any stage, if any evidence is recorded in his absence and subject to his furnishing bank guarantee or indemnity bonds with one surety or FDR in the sum of Rs.5,00,000/- with this court. Accordingly, the present application filed by the applicant Kushaldeep Singh Dhillon stands disposed of. Papers be attached with the challan/FIR.

Pronounced in the Open Court:

Dated 05.06.2026

(Krishan Kant Jain),
Additional Sessions Judge,
Faridkot (UID:PB0163).

Sneh Lata