

IN THE COURT OF MS. SURABHI SHARMA VATS, ASJ-04
(SHAHDARA), KARKARDOOMA COURTS, DELHI

Bail Matters 739/2026 & 740/2026
State Vs. Rohit & Shivansh @ Ashu
FIR No. 65/2026
PS: Farsh Bazar
U/s 109(1)/61(2)/3(5) BNS & 25/27 Arms Act

01.06.2026

This is a common order on the two separate applications seeking bail moved on behalf of the applicants/accused Rohit and Shivansh @ Ashu.

Present: Sh. S.K. Dubey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. J.P. Bansal, Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused.
IO/SI Vinay Sharma in person.

Arguments on the present bail applications heard.

1. Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused have submitted that applicants/accused have been falsely implicated in the present case and have no role in the alleged offence; that the applicants/accused are young boys and are not previously involved in any case whatsoever; that the investigation of the present case is complete and the charge-sheet has already been filed in the present case, therefore, no purpose would be served by keeping the applicants/accused behind bars.

2. Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused have further submitted that the co-accused Yash who has a graver and more active role as of the present applicants/accused and who is alleged to have used the firearm to fire at the residence of the complainant has already been enlarged on bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide its order dated 26.05.2026. Further, the co-accused persons namely Arman and Himanshu Sharma have also been granted bail by this Court vide order dated 30.05.2026, therefore, the applicants/accused also deserves to be released on bail on the grounds of parity. The applicants/accused are ready to abide by all the terms and

conditions, if any imposed by this Court and are also ready to furnish reliable surety to the entire satisfaction of the Hon'ble Court.

3. Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State has opposed the bail applications while submitting that allegations against the applicants/accused are serious in nature; that as per the case of Prosecution, the applicants/accused alongwith other co-accused persons in furtherance of their common intention fired gunshot towards the complainant, with an intension of killing him. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has conceded that the role of the co-accused Yash who has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 26.05.2026 is at par with the applicant/accused Rohit and the role of the co-accused Yash is somewhat graver as of the applicant/accused Shivansh @ Ashu.

4. Upon query, IO had stated that investigation qua the applicants/accused is complete and chargesheet has already been filed; that the applicants/accused are not previously involved in any other case.

5. This Court has heard the arguments on this application and has also perused the available record.

6. The applicant/accused Rohit is stated to be in JC since 27.02.2026 and the applicant/accused Shivansh is stated to be in JC since 28.02.2026. Investigation in the present matter is stated to be complete and chargesheet is stated to have been filed. Custodial interrogation of the accused/applicants is stated to be not required.

As per the case of the Prosecution, the role of the co-accused Yash who has already been enlarged on bail vide order dated 26.05.2026 passed by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and is alleged to have fired upon the house of the complainant is at par with the applicant/accused Rohit and the role of the applicant/accused Shivansh @ Ashu is that he was

riding the scooty carrying co-accused Arman and applicant/accused Rohit. The co-accused persons namely Arman and Himanshu Sharma have already been granted bail by this court. The applicants/accused persons are stated to be young boys and no previous involvement of the applicants/accused is reported.

Without commenting on the merits of the case, considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, no previous involvement and parity of the applicants/accused with the co-accused persons, the present bail applications are allowed and accused/ applicants Rohit and Shivansh @ Ashu are admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond/surety bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety of like amount to the satisfaction of Ld. JMFC/ Ld. Duty JMFC/ Ld. Trial Court, subject to the conditions as mentioned hereinbelow:

- i) That the accused/ applicants shall convey any change in his address immediately to the I.O. and the Learned Concerned Court.
- ii) That accused/ applicants shall appear before the Trial Court on dates of hearing;
- iii) That the accused/ applicants shall not indulge in any kind of activities as alleged against him in the present case;
- iv) That the accused/ applicants shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner;
- v) That the accused/ applicants shall not contact, communicate with, threaten or influence the complainant/victim or his family members or any of the witnesses in any manner.
- vi) That the accused/ applicants shall not leave the Country without prior permission of the Court.
- vii) That the accused/ applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person who is acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.

7. *Nothing expressed hereinabove, shall tantamount to any opinion on the merits of this case.*

8. In compliance of directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled ***'In Re: Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail', Writ Petition***

(Crl.) No. 04/2021 dated 31.01.2023, e-copy of this order be sent to applicant via e-mail through concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

9. The bail applications stands disposed of. Copy of this order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for applicants/ accused, to the complainant as well as to the I.O as per rules.

(Surabhi Sharma Vats)
ASJ-04/Shahdara, KKD Court, Delhi
01.06.2026