

9 CS 861/25
KAMLESH OR INDU VS. RAJ BALA

11.03.2026

Present: Sh. Manish K. Choudhary, ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Kuldeep Sharma, ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 with
defendant no. 1.
None for defendant no. 2 and 3 despite service.

Defendant no. 2 and 3 have not appeared despite service.

Accordingly, they are proceeded *ex parte*.

WS alongwith reply to the application u/o XXXIX Rule 1 & 2
CPC and documents as per list (running into 55 pages) filed on behalf of
defendant no. 1. Taken on record.

Replication to the WS of defendant no. 1 filed on behalf of
plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that they have received
the copy of replication yesterday only and seeks time to go through the same.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff presses upon application u/o XXXIX
Rule 1 & 2 CPC.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that they have moved the above
application to maintain the status quo qua the suit property bearing H. No. 82,
Gali no. 8, Village Tahirpur, Delhi, measuring 47.84 sq. yards.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1 submits that they are in
possession of the above suit property since 2006 and they have no intention to
change status quo of said property.

Considering the submissions made by Id. Counsels, **both the parties are directed to maintain status quo with regard to suit property bearing H. No. 82, Gali no. 8, Village Tahirpur, Delhi, measuring 47.84 sq. yards till NDOH.**

Both the parties are directed to file affidavit of A/D of the documents respectively filed by the other side with advance copy to the opposite party.

Put up for filing of affidavit of A/D of documents and framing of issues on **20.07.2026.**

(Vipin Kharb)
DJ – 03, Shahdara District,
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi
11.03.2026