

State Vs Kartik BA-301-2022

Present:- Sh. Shivraj Singh, Ld. APP for the State.
Sh. Sunil Verma, Advocate for accused Kartik

Reply to the bail application of accused Kartik filed. Copy supplied. Arguments on bail application heard. I have heard learned counsel for the accused and learned APP for the State and perused the file. In the present case, accused has been implicated under Section 379, 411, 201, 34 IPC. No useful purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody as the trial will take long time. In view of the above facts and circumstances, accused Kartik is admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bonds in the sum of ₹30,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Bail bonds and surety are furnished, which are accepted and attested. **Original documents of surety be endorsed and due intimation be given to the concerned authority, if required. The Reader is directed to prepare details of surety and his property.** Release warrant of accused Kartik be issued forthwith. Accused Kartik be released forthwith if not required in any other case. Papers be tagged with main file.

Parveen Kumar-I
JMIC, Sonipat
UID No. HR-0393

Date of Order 01.11.2022
Manish Kumar