

Mamta Sharma Vs. Presidium Educational And Charitable Trust & Ors.

23.07.2024

Present: Sh. Digvijay Sharma, Id. Counsel for plaintiff.

None for defendant.

It has been informed by the Reader of this court that counsel for defendant shall appear at 12.30 p.m.

At this stage, Id. Counsel for plaintiff filed reply of applications of defendant under order 8 rule 1 CPC and under order 1 rule 10 CPC. It be taken on record.

Matter be awaited for appearance of Id. Counsel for defendant.

File be put up at 12.30 p.m.

(RAMESH KUMAR-II)
DJ-01/KKD Court/Delhi
23.07.2024

At 12.37 p.m.

Present: Sh. Rajesh Sharma, Id. Counsel for plaintiff through V.C.

Sh. Umair, Advocate filed hisi Vakalatnama on behalf of defendant. It be taken on record.

At this stage, Id. Counsel for plaintiff submits that in morning session reply to the applications of defendant under order 8p rule 1 CPC and under order 1 rule 10 CPC was filed on behalf of plaintiff. Heard.

At this stage, it is observed that application under order 1 rule 10 CPC has not been drafted properly. Hence, application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC is taken off the record.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff is directed to file fresh reply of application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC on next date of hearing with copy to opposite party.

Matter is adjourned.

Put up for reply and arguments on application u/o 1 rule 10 CPC as well as arguments on application u/o 8 rule 1 CPC on 30.09.2024.

(RAMESH KUMAR-II)
DJ-01/KKD Court/Delhi
23.07.2024