

IN THE COURT OF Mrs. PAMELPREET GREWAL KAHAL
ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE, FAZILKA.

BA-309-2026

CNR No. PBFZC0000888-2026

Date of Institution:03.02.2026

Date of Decision:-11.02.2026

1. Sukhwinder Singh @ Kulwinder Singh @ Kinder aged about 26 years son of Om Singh.
2. Roshan Singh @ Ruby aged about 23 years son of Om Singh, both residents of Dhani village Heeranwali, P.S. Khui Khera, Tehsil and District Fazilka.

v.

State

FIR No. 117 dated 21.08.2025

Under Section:-61/1/14 of Punjab

Excise Act.

P.S. Khui Khera.

Present: Sh. Sarbjeet Singh, Advocate for applicants-accused.

Sh. Vinod Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Bail application Under Section 482 BNSS of 2023.

ORDER:

This order of mine shall dispose off the application for grant of anticipatory moved by above named applicants-accused.

2. In brief, the allegations against the accused/applicants are that on 21.08.2025 HC Ajmer Singh alongwith police party in connection with patrolling and checking of suspected persons was present in the area of village Heeran Wali, where police party received a secret information against the applicants-accused that they are habitual of distilling and selling the illicit liquor and if the raid is conducted right now at their Shatirwala dhani near the drain, they can be apprehended alongwith heavy quantity of lahan. Upon this information, police party reached at the disclosed place. On seeing the police party, both the applicants-accused succeeding in fleeing away from the spot and 100 litre of lahan was recovered. On the basis of these allegations, the FIR was registered against the applicants-accused.

3. Upon notice the police record was produced. Same is perused. Report of Ahlmad has also been seen that this is the first bail application of the accused/applicant.

4. I have heard the submissions of the Ld. Counsel for accused and the Ld. APP for the State. Learned counsel for the applicants-accused has argued that accused have been falsely implicated and no recovery has been effected from them. With these submissions prayer for bail was made. On the other hand, learned Addl. PP for the state has argued that accused/applicants have committed serious crime due to which benefit of bail should not be granted and prayed for dismissal of the bail application.

5. In the case at hand, recovery alleged from the accused is 100 litre of lahan. Often the sale of illicit liquor/lahan has resulted in

causalities where innocent people loose their life by consuming spurious liquor. Hence, such these tendencies need to be checked. Thus, this is not a ground for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicants-accused. Consequently, present anticipatory bail application moved by accused/applicants is hereby dismissed.

6. However, any observations made herein above shall have no effect on merits of main case. Police record be returned, whereas bail application file be consigned to the Record Room after due compliance.

-Sd/-

PRONOUNCED IN OPEN COURT

Dated:-11.02.2026

Sanjeev Narang, stenographer Gr.1.

PAMELPREET GREWAL KAHAL

ADDITIONAL SESSIONS JUDGE

FAZILKA (UID No.PB0304)