

15.07.2019

Present: Sh. Jai Mohan, counsel for petitioner.
None for respondent no.1.
Sh. Anand Maheshwari, counsel for respondent no.2.
Respondent no.3 unserved.

Notice of the petition issued to respondent no.3 received back unserved with the report that the premises is lying locked.

An application under Order 5 Rule 20 CPC for substituted service of the respondent no.3 filed by counsel for petitioner. In the application, it is stated that the petitioner is not aware about any other address of the respondent no.3. In view of the reports received in the court on the ordinary process as well as registered covers, I am satisfied that it is not possible to serve the respondent no.3 by way of ordinary means. Let the respondent no.3 be served with notice of petition by way of publication in the newspaper "Statesmen" on filing of PF and deposit of publication charges.

The respondent no.3 be also served by way of affixation at both the last known addresses on filing of PF.

The counsel for respondent no.2 submits that since the property in question falls within the local limits of Central District, this court would not have territorial jurisdiction to try and entertain the present petition. The said objection shall be considered on the next date of hearing.

Put up on **24.09.2019.**

(SMITA GARG)
Addl. District Judge-02,
Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts,
Delhi:15.07.2019