

State Vs Dinesh Kumar

Present: Ms. Ezza, Learned Assistant Public Prosecutor.
Accused Dinesh Kumar in custody, represented by Sh. Rakesh Yadav,
Advocate (P.O.A. filed).

Challan presented today. It be checked and registered.

Accused has surrendered before the Court and has filed application for bail through his counsel. Notice of same given to state. Reply not filed. While arguing, Ld. Counsel for accused submitted that his client is innocent and has been falsely implicated in present case. He submitted that no investigation is pending against his client. Lastly, he submitted that his client will abide by all the terms and conditions of bail. Per contra, Ld. APP for the State opposed the bail to accused. Heard. Record Perused. Keeping in view the facts of case, submissions of counsel for parties and prevailing health conditions due to Covid-19 pandemic, this Court is of the opinion that no purpose would be served by keeping the accused in custody pending trial. Hence, accused is admitted to bail subject to him furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs. 30,000/- with one surety in the like amount. Requisite bail bond and surety bond furnished. Accepted and attested. Accused be released from custody, if not required in any other case.

At this stage, copy of challan supplied to the accused free of cost as per provisions contained under Section 207 Cr.P.C. Now to come up on 24.01.2024 for arguments on charge.

Dated: 26.05.2023

(Sunil Kumar)
JMIC/Gurugram
UID No. HR0526