

24 CS 743/23
VEENA RASTOGI VS. SANDEEP NAGPAL

23.01.2026

Both of the regular stenographers are on leave.
Present:- Sh. N.K. Goel, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
None for defendant.

An application under Order XII Rule 6 read with Section 151 CPC for recovery of possession filed on behalf of plaintiff. Same be taken on record. Advance copy stated to be already supplied. Reply, if any be filed within two weeks with an advance copy to the opposite party.

Perusal of the record shows that on the last date of hearing, this court noted that before proceeding further and before framing of issues, one opportunity was granted to the defendant as to why a decree of possession should not be passed in favour of plaintiff under Order XII Rule 6 CPC on the basis of admissions on record. However, none has appeared for defendant despite calls.

Be awaited for the presence of defendant.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/23.01.2026

At 3:30 PM

Present: None.

None has appeared for defendant since morning despite repeated calls. It seems that the defendant does not have anything to say. However, in the

interest of justice, last and final opportunity is granted to the defendant to address arguments as to why a decree of possession shall not be passed in favour of plaintiff under Order XII Rule 6 CPC on the basis of admissions on record. It is made clear that no further opportunity shall be granted for the said purpose.

To come up for arguments on **13.02.2026**.

(Gaurav)
District Judge-01/ SHD
KKD/Delhi/23.01.2026