

CNR NO: PBLD010143352026
BA/5846/2026

CIS NO:

Dinesh Goel @ Golu vs State

Present: Sh.Gurkirpal Singh Gill, Advocate, counsel for applicant.
Ms.Ramandeep Kaur, Additional PP for the respondent/State, assisted by counsel
Sh.Puneet Sareen, Advocate counsel for the complainant.

ORDER:

Record produced. Reply/proforma to the bail application filed by the respondent/State.

As per report of the Ahlmad, he has checked the record as well as CI System and certified that no bail application of applicant is pending in this case FIR proceedings before the Hon'ble High Court.

2. Arguments heard on bail application moved by applicant Dinesh Goel @ Golu son of Sh.Prshotam Lal resident of House No.64-A, Prashant Vihar, Baltana, Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar, for **anticipatory bail** in case

FIR No.237 dated 17.05.2026, under Section 108 of BNS,
Police Station Samrala, District Ludhiana.

3. Record perused.

4. I have considered the arguments, put forth by
both the sides and scrutinized the record.

5. As is transpired from the record, FIR in the
present case was got registered on the basis of statement of
complainant Vivek. Allegedly, he alongwith his brother
Amit Kumar was running a shop in the name of Aggarwal
Grocery Store. In the year 2001, they started the said shop
jointly with their uncle Vishwanath Goyal. Complainant's
younger brother Amit Kumar alongwith Viswanath Goyal
used to sit in the shop while the complainant did the outside
work. They used to buy and sell the properties jointly with
their uncle Viswanath Goyal but got those transactions
registered in the name of their uncle Viswanath Goyal.
Though Vishwanath Goyal was issueless, he adopted
Deepak Kumar son of his sister-in-law Kiran Goyal.

Viswanath Goyal died in May 2021, leaving behind Deepak Goyal and his wife Usha Goyal. After his death, most of the time, the complainant's brother Amit Kumar would sit in the shop. However, Deepak Goyal also started sitting in the shop and bringing his natural mother Kiran Goyal wife of late Parshotam Lal and natural brothers **Dinesh Goyal alias Golu (present applicant)** and Rinku Goyal alias Kalu to the shop. Even Sonu Goyal, son of Viswanath Goyal's brother also started visiting the shop with Deepak Goyal. All of them often humiliated the complainant and his brother by doing work saying that the entire property was in the name of Viswanath Goyal and that they would take them (complainant party) out at any time. Due to this reason, Amit Kumar started remaining under mental tension.

On 15/05/2026, Amit Kumar went to the shop in the morning and returned home at around 11-30 A.M. He informed the complainant that Deepak Goyal along with his

brothers Dinesh Goyal alias Golu and Rinku Goyal alias Kalu had visited the shop and humiliated him about the work and said that they would confiscate the entire property. At about 03-00 PM, Amit Kumar again left for shop on his black colour scooter number PB-10-EX-9938. At around 03-43 PM, Amit Kumar uploaded 02 videos in their family whatsapp group saying that *Deepak Goyal and his brother Golu, resident of Panchkula, along with his family, were pressurizing them and trying to seize all the properties. At the end of the video, he was saying that he would meet the same family, brother and children in the next life.*

After watching this video, the complainant started searching for Amit Kumar through his acquaintances and they found his scooter number PB-10-EX-9938 and his mobile phone near the *Nilo Nehar* Bridge. Thereafter, the deadbody of Amit Kumar was found in the *Gurthali Pul* canal. In this manner, Deepak Goyal, Dinesh Goyal alias

Golu, Rinku Goyal alias Kalu and their mother Kiran Goyal abetted Amit Kumar to commit suicide by humiliating him in order to fraudulently seize the grocery shop and the properties purchased by them along with Viswanath Goyal.

After registration of the FIR, further investigation was carried out.

6. During arguments, the learned Additional PP for the State assisted by the ld.counsel for the complainant reiterated the contents of the FIR. It is vehemently argued that there are serious allegations against the applicant who alongwith others harassed him and his deceased brother as a result of which his brother committed suicide. It was clear from the video uploaded by the deceased that the present applicant alongwith others instigated the deceased to commit suicide. They humiliated him and his brother and also threatened to grab the whole property purchased by them in the name of Vishavnath Goel. It is further argued that the deceased specifically uttered the name of the

present applicant in the alleged video regarding the harassment and humiliation caused by him alongwith others just before he committed suicide. Hence, the present applicant does not deserve the leniency of the Court in grant of relief of anticipatory bail. In support of these contentions, ld.Counsel for the complainant also placed on record the written arguments.

Per contra, the ld.Counsel for the applicant vehemently argued that he was innocent and falsely implicated in the present case. He has no concern with the alleged occurrence or the allegations leveled against him. The present FIR is got registered on the basis of one video allegedly sent by the deceased in their family group but the deceased in the said video is nowhere saying that he was going to commit suicide on the instigation of the applicant. Even otherwise, the applicant is permanent resident of Zirakpur, District SAS Nagar. On 15.5.2026, he never never visited Ludhiana. He was running a factory under the name

and style of M/s Maa Mansa Devi Packaging at Baddi, which was a partnership firm and he was one of the partners of the said firm. It is further argued that there is no reference of any property dispute or intention of the deceased to commit suicide in the said video. As the phone of the deceased was already taken into possession by the investigating agency, no recovery was to be effected from the applicant. There is no scope of tampering with the evidence as deceased's brother himself is a witness. The police is raiding the house of applicant to arrest him. If he is arrested, his personal liberty shall be jeopardized. He is ready to join the investigation as and when so directed and will abide by all the conditions imposed by the Court. Much stress was laid on the point that the applicant deserved the relief of bail on the ground of parity with Rinku Goel who was already granted the concession of bail. Hence, the prayer for relief of anticipatory bail.

7. Be that as it may, the Court is of the opinion that the allegations against the present applicant are grave in nature, whose name is specifically mentioned in the videos uploaded by the deceased before committing suicide, while saying that *Deepak Goyal and his brother Golu, resident of Panchkula, along with his family, were pressurizing them and trying to seize all the properties.*

So far the **averments/contentions of the learned counsel for applicant** are concerned, those are matters to be appreciated only after taking evidence during trial. At this stage, it is too early to jump to any conclusion for the purpose of bail. The matter is still pending investigation and challan is yet to be presented. Therefore, there is every likelihood that if granted bail, the applicant may attempt to hamper the process of the investigation in one way or the other. Even otherwise, the modus operandi of the applicant/accused is to be probed during investigation. Moreover, it would not give a right signal to

the society. As far as the contention of the applicant on the ground of parity is concerned, that is devoid of any merit as the name of the present applicant alongwith others is specifically mentioned in the alleged video uploaded by deceased while it was not so in the case of Rinku Goel.

Therefore, without further delving into the merits of the case and in view of the fact that there are serious allegations against the present applicant, the court is of the opinion that at this stage, the **applicant Hardev Singh** is not entitled to the concession of bail. Consequently, the bail application is **dismissed**.

However, nothing observed herein shall be construed as any expression of opinion of this Court on merits of the case.

8. Police record be returned. Bail file be consigned to the Record Room, Ludhiana.

Announced in open Court.
Dated: 14.07.2026
Manjit Singh, Stg G-II.
(directed typed on dictation)

(Dr.Rajneesh),
Addl., Session Judge,
Ludhiana (UID-PB0172)