

AMARJIT SINGH VS STATE OF PUNJAB etc.

Present: Sh. Gurmail Singh Nararwal, Advocate for the accused-applicant.
APP for the State.

Record perused. Heard on the bail application.

It has been contended by Id. Counsel for the accused-applicant that no case is made out against the accused-applicant and he has been falsely implicated in the above said case. The recovery has already been effected and accused-applicant is not required for further interrogation. No useful purpose will be served by keeping the accused behind bars till the presentation of challan and thus accused-applicant has prayed that he be granted bail. Further, as per report of Ahlmad, no bail application is pending in Ld. Appellate Court, Court, Patiala and and also no case is found in the said FIR as per Hon'ble High Court website.

Notice of the bail application was issued to the Ld.APP for the State for today who did not file reply to the same but has orally opposed the application. Further ASI Baldev Singh, No.660/Patiala PS Julkan, Patiala has made a statement that no other bail application has been filed by the accused in relation with the present FIR.

Perusal of papers shows that the present FIR has been lodged against the accused-applicant U/Ss 457/380/411 IPC by PS Julkan, Patiala. Recovery of mobile phone and one motorcycle recovered from the accused. The accused-applicant is in judicial custody since 29.08.2022. The presentation of challan and conclusion of trial shall take long time and no useful purpose will be served by detaining the accused in custody for an indefinite period. Recovery has already been effected from the accused. Accordingly, accused-applicant is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds in the sum of Rs.50,000/- with a surety of the like amount.

Bail bonds not furnished.

Date of Order: 2022-09-05
Veena Rani, Stenographer Gr.II

(Ankita)
Judicial Magistrate - Ist Class
Patiala-UID NO . PB0589