

SC No. 161/2020
State Vs. Sachin Upadhaya @ Tinku
FIR No. 236/2019
PS: Madhu Vihar

21.01.2025

Present: Sh. S.K. Dubey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Accused Sachin Upadhaya @ Tinku produced from JC
(on bail in the present case).

Accused Salman is present on bail.

Sh. Amitabh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for accused Salman.

1. PW-Shri Tej Bahadur has been examined-in-chief as PW-
4. He has been cross-examined and discharged.

2. Summon sent to PW- 'A' received back with report that
when the process Server reached at the first address mentioned on the
summon, it was found that no person by the name 'A' was residing at
the given address and when the process Server reached at the second
address mentioned on the summon, he met with one Raju , who told
him that he does not know as to where 'A' is residing and he does not
have her contact number.

3. No PW is present today.

4. Put up for PE on **05.03.2025**. PW 'A' be summoned
through IO and DCP concerned for the NDOH. PWs HC/DO Mohd.
Rehman, Ct. Harvir and Ct. Ravender be also summoned for the
NDOH.

(SURABHI SHARMA VATS)
ASJ-04/Shahdara/KKD Courts,
Delhi/21.01.2025