

CNR NO. PBLD010146502026.

B.A. No.5979/2026

Date of Order: 13.7.2026

Pritpal Singh son of Hardev Singh resident of village Chakkar, Hathur,
Ludhiana.

.....Applicant/accused.

Versus

State of Punjab.

[Bail application under Section 483 of BNSS]

Present: Sh. Ricky Chodha Addl.PP for the State
Sh. R.S Mand Adv. for applicant/accused.

ORDER:-

The instant application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS has been filed on behalf of the applicant/accused in case FIR No. 96 dated 8.6.2026, under section 22, 25 and 27 of The Narcotic Drugs & Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, P.S. Hathur.

2. Upon notice, Additional Public Prosecutor appeared on behalf of State.

3. I have heard the counsel for the applicant/ accused and Ld. APP for the State.

4. As per the police report duly forwarded by learned Addl. PP for the State, on 8.6.2026 applicant/accused alongwith co accused Narinder Singh and Pardeep Singh @ Dimpi was apprehended and 20 intoxicant tablets were recovered from their joint/ common possession.

5. The applicant/accused is in custody since 8.6.2026. The sample taken by the police was sent for analysis to FSL but till date the

report of FSL has not been received so far. Moreover, co accused Pardeep Singh @ Dimpi has already been granted interim bail by this court vide order dated 6.7.2026.

6. Thus, without the report of Chemical Examiner it cannot be concluded that what are the contents of the sample and whether the recovery will fall under commercial or non commercial quantity. In a case Inderjeet Singh @ Laddi Vs State of Punjab 2014(3) RCR (Criminal) 953 (DB). It has been observed by Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana High Court that the presiding officer of a Special Court dealing with NDPS cases wherever the need is felt and where the matter is being unnecessarily delayed may grant interim bail till the receipt of the FSL report and thereafter consider the case of the applicant/accused with regard to continuing the bail or he is required to be taken in custody or not only after the receipt of the report.

7. Presumption of innocence lies with the accused unless he is proved guilty after the process of trial. Personal liberty of the individual has to be guarded by the courts as it is a constitutional mandate. Bail is the rule of law and jail is exception to the same. Therefore, keeping in view the circumstances of this case and the ratio of law laid down in the aforesaid cited judgment, applicant/ accused is ordered to be released on interim bail on furnishing the bail/ surety bonds to the tune of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount and subject to following conditions:-

1. That the applicant/ accused will not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.

2. That the applicant/ accused will not leave the territory of India without prior permission of the court.

8. However, it is made clear that if on receipt of report of Chemical Examiner, the quantity of intoxicant substance allegedly recovered from the applicant/accused, falls in the ambit of commercial quantity, as per the provisions of the NDPS Act then the concession of interim bail shall stand ceased and cancelled automatically and the applicant/accused will surrender before the court. In case the applicant/accused fails to surrender in the court then the IO/SHO P.S Hathur shall arrest the applicant/accused and will proceed further as per law against him. Learned Addl. PP for the State/ IO is directed to ensure that the report of chemical examiner is produced in the court as and when the same is received. The bail application is disposed off accordingly. This file be attached with the FIR/remand papers of this case.

Announced in open court
on: 13th July , 2026

(Ranjeev Kumar Vishisht)
Judge, Special Court,
Ludhiana(UID PB0179)

Note:- This order has been directly dictated on computer.

Deepak Spal SG-I*