

IN THE COURT OF MS. SURABHI SHARMA VATS, ASJ-04
(SHAHDARA), KARKARDOOMA COURTS, DELHI

Regn. No. 680/2026 & 681/2026
State Vs. Vipanshu Rana & Raghav Kumar
FIR No. 167/2026
PS Madhu Vihar
U/s 121(1)/132/221/351(2)/3(5) BNS

30.06.2026

This is a common order seeking anticipatory bail moved on behalf of the applicants/accused namely Vipanshu Rana & Raghav Kumar.

Present: Sh. S.K. Dubey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.

Sh. Sunil Pal and Ms. Vaishali Gupta, Ld. Counsels
for the applicant/accused.

Sh. Rishu, Ld. Counsel for the complainant.

Arguments on the present application heard.

1. Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused has submitted that this is the first bail applications seeking anticipatory bail moved on behalf of the applicants/accused Vipanshu Rana & Raghav Kumar and no other similar applications are pending before any other Court of law.

2. It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused have been falsely implicated in the present case; that the applicants/accused have nothing to do with the alleged offences; that one police officer came on his motorcycle and asked the applicant/accused Vipanshu Rana about his whereabouts and the applicant/accused Vipanshu Rana told his whereabouts, however, the police official asked him to go with him to the police station; that when the applicant/accused Vipanshu Rana denied, the police official started beating him and in the meantime, his friend i.e. applicant/accused Raghav Kumar also reached there and made a video of the incident due to which the police official's ego was hurt

and the police official became adamant and tried to take them to the police station; that the police official fell down due to achocol and the applicants/accused somehow saved them from the clutches of the police official and ran away.

3. Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused has further submitted that the applicants/accused are ready to join the investigation of the present case, therefore, they may be granted liberty of the anticipatory bail. The applicants/accused are also ready to abide by all the terms and conditions, if anticipatory bail is granted to them.

4. Per-contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State has strongly opposed the present anticipatory bail applications; as per the case of Prosecution, on the intervening night of 12/13.05.2026, while complainant Ct. Saurav Kumar was posted at Police Station Madhu Vihar and was performing emergency PCR duty on PCR Omini Motorcycle R-86A from 08:00 PM to 08:00 AM, a PCR call vide DD No. 18A dated 13.05.2026 regarding a quarrel was received by him, pursuant to which he reached the spot where the caller Rahul was met, who pointed out two persons riding a Jupiter scooty proceeding towards Chander Vihar, Mandawali and informed that they had assaulted him and his customers; thereafter, the said persons were chased by the complainant/Ct. Saurav Kumar and, despite repeated directions to stop they did not stop and were intercepted near Baba Balak Nath Mandir, Chander Vihar, Mandawali; however, when enquiries regarding their names and addresses were sought to be made in the discharge of official duties of the complainant being police official, neither were their particulars disclosed nor were the lawful directions issued by the

complainant complied with and, instead, abuses were hurled at him and he was assaulted, resulting in injuries being caused to him and his official uniform being torn; owing to his being alone, the assailants could neither be apprehended nor could the registration number of the scooty be noted down and thereafter they fled from the spot while extending threats to him; information regarding the incident was conveyed to the Police control room and, upon receipt of DD No. 19A dated 13.05.2026 regarding a PCR official having been assaulted and his uniform having been torn, ASI Sherpal Singh along with Ct. Mohit reached the spot where the injured complainant was found and was removed to LBS Hospital.

5. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has further submitted that during the incident in question, a on duty Police official had suffered multiple injuries due to assault by the applicants/accused and the MLC of the victim/complainant Ct. Saurabh reveals multiple injuries as:

- (i) Swelling with bluish bruise of RT cheek approx- 2cm X 1cm.
- (ii) Reddish Abrasion of LT Elbow approx 0.5cm x 0.5cm.
- (iii) Reddish abrasion on RT Elbow approx 3cm x 2 cm.
- (iv) Reddish abrasion on RT hand approx 1cm x 0.5 cm.

6. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has further submitted that the applicants/accused have not joined the investigation of the present case despite service of the notice and when the IO/ASI Sherpal reached at the house of the accused persons for investigation, their family members also misbehaved with the Police officials.

7. It is argued that the allegations against the accused persons are grave and serious in nature; that the complainant was a Police official on emergency PCR duty and was discharging his

official functions when he attempted to intercept and inquire from the accused persons regarding a reported quarrel, instead of cooperating with the Police official, the accused persons obstructed him in the discharge of his official duties, abused him, assaulted him and torn his official uniform; such conduct, amounts to a direct attack upon the authority of law and the administration of justice and cannot be viewed lightly.

8. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has lastly argued that the investigation of the case is at a nascent stage and custodial interrogation of the applicants/accused persons is required, therefore, anticipatory bail may not be granted to the applicants/accused.

9. This Court has heard the arguments on this application and has also perused the available record.

10. The allegations against the applicants/accused are grave in nature. As per the case of Prosecution, the complainant was a Police official on emergency PCR duty and was acting in discharge of his official functions when he attempted to intercept the accused persons pursuant to a PCR call, instead of complying with the lawful directions of the complainant who is a Police official, the accused persons are alleged to have assaulted him, caused injuries to him and torn his official uniform before fleeing from the spot. Such allegations, at their face value, do not merely disclose an assault upon an individual but an attack upon a public servant performing official duties, thereby striking at the effective administration of law and order. The seriousness of the allegations is further accentuated by the fact that multiple injuries were allegedly inflicted upon a uniformed Police official while he was allegedly engaged in the performance of his public duties.

The applicants/accused are stated to have not joined the investigation despite service of notice. The investigation of the present case is stated to be at a crucial stage and custodial interrogation of the applicants/accused is stated to be required.

Considering the nature of accusations, the manner in which the offence was allegedly committed, the victim being a public servant allegedly acting in discharge of official duties, the medical evidence available on record and the overall facts and circumstances of the case, no ground for grant of anticipatory bail is made out. The present bail applications seeking anticipatory bail on behalf of the applicants/accused namely Vipanshu Rana & Raghav Kumar are hereby, dismissed.

11. *Nothing expressed hereinabove, shall tantamount to any opinion on the merits of this case.*

12. Copy of order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and to the State as well.

(SURABHI SHARMA VATS)
ASJ-04, Shahdara/KKD Courts,
Delhi/03.06.2026