

SC No. 300/2024
FIR No. 200/2024
State Vs. Mani Kumar and anr.
P.S. Jyoti Nagar
Under Sec. 3(1)(r), 3(1)(s), 3(2)(va) of
SC/ST Act & 323/354/34 IPC

29.05.2026

Present : Sh. Parmod Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

Ms. Suruchi Mittal, Ld. Counsel through VC for
complainant.

Both accused with Ld. Counsel Sh. Sanjeev Bhardwaj.

Arguments heard on bail applications of accused
persons.

Both accused are directed to furnish affidavit in
compliance of judgment of Hon'ble Apex Court in the matter **Zeba
Khan Vs. State of UP & Others Crl. Appeal No. 825/2026 [Arising
out of SLP (Crl.) No. 12669/2025]**.

Put up at 2.00 pm.

(Charu Aggarwal)
ASJ-02/E-Court/Shahdara
KKD/Delhi/29.05.2026

At 2.45 pm.

Present: Sh. Parmod Kumar, Ld. Addl. PP for State.

None for complainant.

Both accused with Ld. Counsel Sh. Sanjeev Bhardwaj.

Both accused have furnished affidavit in compliance
of aforesaid judgment. Copy thereof is taken on record for supply

to complainant.

Ld. Counsel for applicants/accused persons submits that accused have been falsely implicated in the present case; charge-sheet of present case has been filed without arrest of accused persons; they are ready to abide by all the conditions imposed by the court. Thus, Ld. Counsel has prayed for grant of bail to the applicants/accused persons.

Per contra, Ld. Addl. PP duly assisted by Ld. counsel for complainant has opposed the bail application by referring to the serious nature of offence alleged against them in the FIR and also that both accused are involved in other cases also. Thus, it is prayed that bail applications be dismissed.

Arguments considered.

Charge-sheet has been filed against applicants/accused persons for the offence under Section 3(1)(r)(s), 3(2)(va) of SC/ST (POA) Act, 1989 & u/s 323/354/34 IPC, on the allegations that they uttered casteist remarks against the complainant in the presence of witnesses namely Rohit Kundaliya, Twinkle Kundaliya, Mohit Kumar and Sundar Pal Khatri.

Chargesheet has been filed 'without arrest' of accused persons; accused persons not being arrested during investigation, is indicative of the fact that they cooperated during the course of the same with the investigating agency. The purpose of bail is to secure the presence of accused persons and as per record, there is nothing on record to show that there is any apprehension that they will flee from trial. Last but not the least, considering the fact that recording of evidence is likely to take considerable time, application in hand stand allowed. As far as, apprehension, if any on behalf of

prosecution that complainant may be intimidated or influenced in case applicants are released on bail, may be allayed by imposing strict conditions.

In the totality of facts and circumstances, both accused namely Mani Kumar and Safal Singh Vikal are admitted to bail subject to furnishing bail bond in the sum of **Rs.35,000/-** with one surety each and personal bond of like amount to the satisfaction of this court/Duty JMFC subject to the following conditions that:-

- 1. Applicants shall not threaten or influence the complainant or any prosecution witness in any manner whatsoever.*
- 2. Applicants shall not tamper with the evidence nor shall indulge in any illegal activities during the bail period.*
- 3. Applicants and their sureties shall intimate this Court immediately of any change in their addresses and shall also furnish their mobile numbers to the IO/SHO concerned.*

Bail applications stand disposed off.

At request, put up for arguments on charge on
17.08.2026.

(Charu Aggarwal)
ASJ-02/E-Court/Shahdara
KKD/Delhi/29.05.2026