

**IN THE COURT OF SH. SANJAY GARG-II,
PRINCIPAL DISTRICT & SESSIONS JUDGE, SHAHDARA,
KARKARDOOMA COURTS, DELHI**

**SC 225/2023
STATE VS. ASHRAF @ MUNNA
FIR NO. 610/2015
PS SEEMA PURI**

25.03.2026

ORDER ON SENTENCE :

1. Vide judgment dated 24.03.2026, the convict Ashraf @ Munna has been held guilty for the commission of offence punishable under Section 392 IPC.
2. Arguments of Sh. Mohd. Iqrar, Ld. Chief PP for the State and Sh. R. K. Pandit, Ld. Counsel for the convict on the point of sentence heard. Record perused.
3. Ld. Chief PP for the State has submitted that considering the nature of offence, the convict is not entitled to any leniency and deserves to be awarded maximum sentence prescribed by law.
4. On the other hand, the Ld. Defence Counsel has prayed that a lenient view may be taken against the convict. He has submitted that the convict belongs to the poor strata of the society and is the sole bread earner of his family. He has submitted that the convict has faced a prolonged prosecution for about 11 years in the present case and after the registration of the FIR, he had remained in custody for

about 1½ months. He has urged that the convict has clean antecedents and his incarceration in jail with hardcore criminals shall adversely affect the chances of his reformation.

5. I have given thoughtful consideration to the arguments advanced by both the sides and the facts and circumstances of the case in totality.
6. Nothing has been produced on record by the prosecution to show that the convict has involvement in any other case. Considering the same and taking note of the fact that the convict has faced a prolonged prosecution for a period of about 11 years, a lenient view is taken and he is sentenced to undergo rigorous imprisonment for a period of three years and pay fine of Rs. 3,000/- for the commission of offence punishable under Section 392 IPC. In default of payment of fine, he shall undergo simple imprisonment for a further period of 30 days.
7. The convict shall be entitled to the benefit of Section 428 CrPC.
8. Upon the realisation of the fine amount, the same be paid as compensation to the complainant/victim Sh. Sanjeev Panwar.
9. Convict Ashraf @ Munna has deposited the above fine amount vide receipt No. 970292. Complainant/victim Sh. Sanjeev Panwar be notified accordingly.

10. An application under Section 389(3) CrPC seeking suspension of sentence has been filed on behalf of the convict. Heard. Convict had remained on bail during the trial. Since he has expressed the intention to prefer an appeal against conviction, the application is allowed and he is admitted to bail on furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 25,000/- with one surety in the like amount till 25.05.2026. Bail bond furnished by the convict is accepted.
11. Copy of this order be supplied to the convict free of cost.

Pronounced in the open court
on 25.03.2026

(SANJAY GARG-II)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
Shahdara, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi