

SC No.269/2024
State Vs. Gaurav
FIR No.53/2024
PS Madhu Vihar

30.08.2024

Present : Sh. Mohd. Iqrar, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused is present on bail alongwith Ld. Counsel
Sh. Rahul Gupta.
Sh. Prashant Kumar Gupta, Ld. Counsel for
complainant/PWs.
IO/ASI Vijay Kumar.

PW Anil is absent despite service.

PW Sushila is present and submits that PW Anil has left the court due to some family exigency. His counsel has moved an application for his exemption for today. Heard. Allowed.

Ld Counsel for the complainant seeks adjournment, which is not opposed.

Put up for PE on **15.10.2024**. Long date is given at the request of the counsel for accused.

Perusal of the file reveals that apart from accused Gaurav, there are other four accused persons namely Gopi, Sameer, Luckka and Lakhiya as mentioned in the complaint, but no status qua those accused is filed by the IO till date. Even the address of one of the accused is mentioned in the chargesheet, however, no efforts are made by the IO till date to trace the said accused or to proceed ahead against remaining accused persons. The chargesheet is also silent on that aspect. The IO is still unable to give any satisfactory reply qua those four accused

persons. Let the concerned SHO as well as the DCP be summoned for next date. IO is also directed to appear on the next date to clarify.

(RENU BHATNAGAR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
Shahdara, KKD Delhi/30.08.2024