

23 CS (COMM.) 524/24

DEUTSCHE BANK AG v. MR. AJAY TANWAR,
PROPRIETOR, M/S A.S. PROCESS AND ANR.

29.01.2026 (At 03:04 p.m.)

Present: Sh. Jishnu Bhardwaj, Id. counsel for plaintiff along
with Sh. Danish Khan, AR of plaintiff.

An application for substitution of AR has been filed.
Application is supported with affidavit of Sh. Danish Khan and
photocopy of POA dated 28.03.2024. Heard and perused.
Application is allowed. Now Sh. Danish Khan is new AR of
plaintiff bank.

Sh. Danish Khan has tendered his affidavit in
evidence as PW1. Document/certificate especially the certificate
exhibited as Ex.PW1/8 was not executed/issued by the witness
and as per facts deposed in his affidavit, he is not found
competent person/witness to prove that certificate. Accordingly
this document shall not be treated as proved.

Since morning none has appeared for defendants to
cross-examine him, hence, defendants are proceeded ex-parte
and opportunity to cross-examine PW1 is closed. Accordingly,
PW1 is discharged. PE is closed vide separate statement of PW1.
Since there is no written statement on the record, no issues were
framed. Therefore, there is no occasion to fix the case for defence
evidence.

Put up for final argument on 04.04.2026.

(Pulastya Pramachala)
District Judge (Commercial Court-01),
Patiala House Courts Complex,
New Delhi/29.01.2026