

VISHNU KUMAR GOEL Vs. RAKESH KUMAR

15.01.2025

(VC is not working and complaint has been made in this regard to the Computer Branch by the Reader.)

Present : Sh. Vijay Goswami and Mohd. Asim Khan, Counsel for Plaintiff.
Defendant in person with ld. counsel Sh. Praveen Kumar.

Ld. Counsel for Defendant submits that his application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC is pending for disposal. Ld. counsel further submits that in view of the fact that Plaintiff's application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC has been allowed, his application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC may be disposed off as not pressed.

Heard and perused.

In view of aforesaid submissions, the aforesaid application is **disposed off** as not pressed.

Ld. counsel for Defendant submits that Plaintiff has not cleared the costs imposed upon him on 06.08.2024.

Plaintiff to clear such costs within a week positively.

Matter is at the stage of PE. However, Defendant in between has filed written statement (as per Index running upto 31 pages) to the amended plaint on 14.11.2024.

Ld. counsel for Defendant seeks some time to move an appropriate application seeking condonation of delay in filing the written statement.

Be filed within 02 weeks with copy to Plaintiff, who shall file Reply to the same within 04 weeks with copy to Defendant.

Put up for arguments over such application as well as for PE on **16.04.2025**.

(MOHAMMAD EHTESHAM)
District Judge-03 (Shahdara),
KKD Courts, Delhi. 15.01.2025