

**IN THE COURT OF SMALL CAUSES, AT MUMBAI.
(BANDRA BRANCH)**

**Order Below Exhibit 14
In
RAE. Suit No. 351 OF 2023**

Mr. Kiran Mahesh Nayak & Anr Plaintiffs

V/s.

Mr. Ramesh G. Naik Defendant

Advocate for the plaintiff : Anil S. Pujari

Advocate for the defendant : Aditi Rajput

**Coram : K. M. Kayangude,
Judge, C.R. No. 38 (B.B.)
Date : 25.07.2024**

Oral Order :

1. Perused the application and reply. Heard both sides.
2. It appears that on yesterday the application Exh.11 was fixed for argument as a last chance. Learned Advocate for the plaintiffs submitted their written argument alongwith list of citations. Though the defendant was present his learned advocate was absent. Hence, the matter was adjourned for the order on Exh. 11.
3. It is specifically asserted that as the learned advocate for the defendant was unwell, she was unable to attend the court. Therefore, the reason put forth for the absence is just and sufficient. In order to decide the application on merits, it is a fit case to allow the defendant to submit the written notes of

::2 ::

argument alongwith citations. Accordingly following order.

:: ORDER ::

1. The application Exh. 14 is hereby allowed.
2. The permission is hereby granted to the defendant to submit written notes of argument alongwith citations.
3. The liberty is also given to submit oral argument.

(Dictated and pronounced in the open court.)

Date : 25.07.2024

**(K. M. Kayangude)
Judge, C.R. No. 38 (B.B.)**

Dictated & Typed on : 25.07.2024
Checked & Signed on : 25.07.2024