

CS 427/19

**BHANU PRATAP AND ORS Vs. NARESH KHURANA AND
ORS**

20.07.2026

Present: Sh. Akhil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Plaintiffs.
Sh. Trilok Chand, Ms. Aanchal Goyal and Sh. Nitin Kumar, Ld. Counsels for the Defendant no. 1 & 5 along with defendant no.1.
Sh. Ashok Mahajan, Ld. Counsel for the Defendants no. 2-4.
Sh. Kartik Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the proposed Defendant no.6 through VC.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiffs seeks some time to file the complete details of defendant no.7 as he has not mentioned the name of proprietor of M/s Khushi Shoes Palace.

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant/ proposed defendant no. 6 submits that the name of proposed defendant no. 6 is Mr. Sudhir Verma and not Mr. Sunil Verma, and the Ld. Counsel for the Plaintiffs also does not disputes the said submissions.

Ld. Counsel for the Applicant/ proposed defendant no. 6 submits that he has no objection if Mr. Sudhir Verma may be impleaded as defendant no.6 in the present suit.

Accordingly, application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC stands disposed of as allowed qua defendant no.6.

Put up for filing of WS on behalf of defendant no.6/ service of proposed defendant no.7/ further proceedings on 31.10.2026.

(Ankur Jain-II)
DJ-02, SHD District
KKD/Delhi 20.07.2026