

CS no. 1918/2016

Smt.Raj Dulari v/s. Sh. Jagan Nath and ors.

and

CS no. 1383/2016

Smt. Rashmi v/s. Smt.Raj Dulari

02/08/2016

Pr.:- Sh. Rajesh Kumar, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Satish Sharma, counsel for defendant.

Ld. counsel for parties jointly stated that if one party get the relief in his suit, then the other party will not be entitled for the relief in his suit so they request to lead common evidence in their cases by treating one case as leading case.

At this stage, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff of CS no. 1918/2016 stated that the case titled as 'Smt.Raj Dulari v/s. Sh. Jagan Nath and ors.' be treated as leading case and plaintiff be allowed to lead his evidence.

Ld. Counsel for defendant stated that he has no objection if suit titled as 'Smt.Raj Dulari v/s. Sh. Jagan Nath' be treated as leading case.

Heard. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and in view of submissions made by Ld. Counsel for parties, case titled as 'Smt.Raj Dulari v/s. Sh. Jagan Nath and ors. CS no. 1918/2016' & 'Smt. Rashmi v/s. Smt.Raj Dulari CS no. 1383/2016' be tagged together and case titled as 'Smt.Raj Dulari v/s. Sh. Jagan Nath and ors. CS no. 1918/2016' is treated as leading case for the purpose of recording evidence.

Plaintiff of CS no. 1918/2016 is directed to file affidavits of all the witnesses within four weeks with advance copies to the other side.

Be put up for PE on 22/11/2016.

(RAVINDER SINGH)
Addl. District Judge (Shahdara),
Karkardooma, Delhi. 02/08/2016.