

MACT/411/2019

DAR - SAPNA MANDAL

Versus

AJAY SHARMA

30.09.2020

Present:- Ms. Pooja Goel, Ld. Counsel for petitioner (through video conferencing).
Sh. S. Ghosh, Ld. Counsel for Insurance Company (through video conferencing).
Sh. Jai Bhagwan Sharma, Ld. Counsel for respondent no. 1 and 2 (through video conferencing).

Ld. Counsel for Insurance Company has submitted that he has sent written statement through email.

Ld. Counsel for petitioner has submitted that interim compensation under Section 140 M.V. Act be awarded to the petitioners.

Ld. counsel for Insurance Company has opposed the request on the ground that Section 140 M.V. Act has been repeat under the new Act. Ld. counsel for petitioner has submitted that the M.V. Act is a beneficial legislation and the recent amendments cannot have a retrospective application so as to adversely affect the interest of petitioners.

Ld. Counsel for parties have sought time to file judgments in support of their respective contentions.

Relist the matter for consideration / arguments on the aspect of interim compensation on 12.10.2020.

(SHUCHI LALER)
PO-MACT/SHD/KKD
30.09.2020