

CS 203/22

VIKAS JAIN Vs. KIRTI KAPOOR AND ANR

07.02.2025

Present: Sh. Rakesh Sharma, Ld. Counsel for the Plaintiff.
None for the Defendants. (Defendants already
proceeded ex-parte.)

Matter is at the stage of passing of orders.

Clarification sought from the Ld. Counsel for the Plaintiff that the plaintiff has not mentioned anywhere the date of his dispossession from the suit property on the basis of which the plaintiff is seeking the suit amount against defendant no.1.

Ld. Counsel for the Plaintiff seeks some time to move an appropriate application for bringing on record the above fact, as the same was inadvertently left to be mentioned in the evidence affidavit filed by the plaintiff, since the plaintiff was dispossessed from the suit property by defendant no.2, during the pendency of present suit.

In view of the same, put up for further proceedings
on **29.03.2025**.

(Ankur Jain-II)
DJ-02, SHD District
KKD/Delhi 07.02.2025