

SATYA VEER SINGH Vs. SAHID ETC

17.08.2024

Present:- Petitioner in person.
Proxy counsel for the petitioner through V/C.
Sh. Sanjeev Singh, Ld. counsel for respondents no.1&2.
Sh. Radhey Shyam, Ld. counsel for respondent no.3/insurance co.

The original claim petition which had been restored perused.

The claim petition was dismissed on 26.04.2014 and not even written statement was filed by the respondents. By the petitioner, there was non-compliance of Rule 8 (2) (b) of Delhi MACT Rules, 2008. As per column no.25 stipulating whether affidavit of the applicant's witnesses as per Rule 8 (2) (b) of Delhi MACT Rules, 2008 are annexed, the petitioner has written 'yes', however on record there is only the affidavit of Satyavir Singh and Arun Sharma which are affidavits in support of claim petition and these are not affidavits as contemplated by Rule 8 (2) (b) of Delhi MACT Rules, 2008.

The petitioner is directed to file affidavits of all witnesses on whom the petitioner relies for proof of any fact as averred/stated in the claim petition on or before NDOH.

The petitioner is also directed to file list of witnesses.

The respondents are directed to file their written statement in terms of Rule 14(2) of Delhi MACT Rules, 2008 within 30 days

-2-

from today with advance copy of their written statement/affidavit of witnesses to the petitioner/ counsel for petitioner.

Non-compliance of order passed by the Tribunal today shall be taken seriously.

Put up for framing of issues on **21.09.2024.**

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi
17.08.2024