

26.05.2025

M No. 607/18
Jagdish Chander vs. Harbans Singh

Present: Sh. Rishu Singh, Ld. Counsel for the applicant a/w applicant no. 2.
Sh. Sanjay Chauhan, Ld. Counsel for the non applicant no 6 through VC.

Although, it was submitted by applicant no. 2 that applicant no. 3 i.e. Mr. Akram Ahmed Ali has expired.

However, no steps have been taken to implead his Lrs.

Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of Lrs of applicant no. 1 that publication has been carried out with respect to non applicant no. 2 and 3 in newspaper *Times of India* on 25.04.2025 and in *Jag Marg* on 26.04.2025.

He has placed on record the copies of the aforesaid newspapers on record.

Non applicant no. 4 and 5 are already ex-parte.

Accordingly, non applicant no. 2 and 3 stands served through publication.

Renotify the matter for arguments on the application under Order 9 Rule 9 CPC on **28.08.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

Ex No. 414/22
Pioneer vs. Seat TV and ors

Present: Ld. Counsel for the DH.

It is submitted on behalf of the counsel for the DH that RFA is pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi and is next listed on 03.09.2025.

It is submitted by the counsel for the DH that he has instructions not to pursue the present matter till the disposal of the RFA stated to be pending before the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi.

In view of the same, renotify on **10.10.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 1341/23
Hamida Begum vs. Mohd. Shahid

Present: Proxy counsel for the plaintiff through VC.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Matter is listed for admission/denial of documents.

Proxy counsel for the plaintiff submits that due to demise in the family of the counsel for the plaintiff and seeks an adjournment. Adjournment not opposed.

Renotify for admission/denial and for framing of issues on **01.09.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 30/24
Nafisa Begum vs. BSES

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant has filed on affidavit of admission/denial of documents. Taken on record. Copy supplied.

With the consent of the parties, following issues are framed for adjudication :-

- 1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of permanent and mandatory injunction thereby directing the defendant to install new electricity connection in the suit premises? OPP***
- 2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree of damages as mandated by Section 43(3) of the Electricity Act, if so, at what rate and for which period? OPP***
- 3. Relief, if any.***

No other issue arises or is pressed for.

List for PE on **01.09.2025**.

Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file their list of witnesses and counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file his evidence by way of affidavit of all the witnesses proposed to be examined and supply the copy of the same to the counsel for the defendant atleast 7 days prior to the NDOH.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 398/24
Ankit Singh vs. Vijender Pal Singh

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant a/w defendant.

It is submitted that the plaint in terms of directions dated 10.03.2025 was supplied to the counsel for the defendant on 13.03.2025.

In the said order, there were directions to file written statement within 30 days from the date of the supply of the copy of the plaint and documents.

Written statement is stated to be filed by the counsel for the defendant on 20.05.2025.

The said written statement is accompanied by an application seeking condonation of delay which delay is stated to have arisen since the bank delayed the supply of the copy of the bank statements.

It is submitted that the bank statements were relevant to be produce since the same goes to the root of the matter.

Reply to the application has been filed by the counsel for the plaintiff who submits that the grounds taken in the application are flimsy since the bank statements have not been filed on record by the defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant submits that she would be filing the bank statements during the course of the day.

Keeping in view the interest of justice, **the application seeking condonation of delay is allowed subject to a cost of Rs. 2000/- and the written statement as filed by the defendant is taken on record.**

Replication, if any, be filed within 30 days from today with advance copy to the other side.

Let affidavit of admission/denial of documents be also filed within two weeks from today with advance copy to each other.

Renotify for framing of issues on **10.09.2025.**

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 937/24
MD. Ikram vs. Pankaj Gupta

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff through VC.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

It is stated on behalf of the plaintiff that he would not be filing any replication.

Accordingly, right to file the same stands closed.

The parties are directed to file affidavit of admission/denial of documents on or before the NDOH with advance copy to each other

Renotify for framing of issues on **10.09.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 1024/24
Hira Lal vs. Sanjay Kumar

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff a/w plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for the defendant a/w defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant has filed on record fresh vakalatnama on behalf of the defendant alongwith certain documents. Taken on record.

The admission/denial of documents have been conducted by the parties in the court.

With the consent of the parties, following issues are framed for adjudication :-

- 1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to a decree for an amount of Rs. 15,30,000/-? OPP***
- 2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to interest, if so at what rate and for which period? OPP***
- 3. Relief, if any.***

No other issue arises or is pressed for.

List for PE.

Ld. Counsel for the parties are directed to file their list of witnesses and counsel for the plaintiff is directed to file his evidence by way of affidavit of all the witnesses proposed to be examined and supply the copy of the same to the counsel for the defendant atleast 7 days prior to the NDOH.

At this stage, the parties submit that there is a possibility of settlement in the present matter and as such they be referred to the mediation centre.

In view of the same, **the parties are hereby referred to the mediation centre and are directed to appear before the mediation centre on 31.05.2025 at 12:30 PM and before the court for the report of the settlement, if any, or for further proceedings on 15.09.2025.**

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

MCA No. 53/24
Mani Ram vs. Ram Chander

Present: Ld. Counsel for the appellant.
Ld. Counsel for the respondent.

It is submitted on behalf of the appellant that the appellant has expired.

He has filed on record an application seeking substitution of the Lrs of the deceased appellant who are stated to be the wife, sons and the wife and sons of the pre-deceased son of the appellant.

The said application is duly supported with the affidavits of the proposed Lrs as well as with the amended memo of parties.

The appellant has also placed on record the death certificate of the deceased appellant.

Keeping in view the fact that the demise of the appellant has taken place on 29.03.2025, the present application being within the period of limitation and in view of the right to sue surviving in favour of the Lrs of the deceased appellant and also keeping in view that no objection has been made by the Ld. Counsel for the respondent, **the present application stands allowed and the Lrs of the deceased appellant are taken on record as per the amended memo of parties.**

Ld. Counsel for the respondent submits that he would be filing the reply to the present appeal within a further period of two weeks’.

Let the same be filed with advance copy to the counsel for the appellant.

Renotify for arguments on **19.08.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

M No. 693/24
Sushil vs. MCD

Present: Sh.Prashant Kumar Bharti, Ld. Counsel for the DH.

Ld. Counsel for the DH has filed on record the indemnity bond togetherwith the NOC in the form of an affidavit in terms of order dated 16.05.2025.

However, despite directions, the banker cheque has not been forwarded to the court.

Under the circumstances, issue **court notice** to the concerned Bank i.e. SBI, Chandni Chowk who are directed to apprise the court as to why the banker cheque has not been forwarded to the court for an amount of Rs. 3,07,163/-.

Renotify on **06.06.2025**.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No. 1218/24
Gurbachan Kaur vs. Amolak Singh

Present: Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff a/w plaintiff.
Ld. Counsel for the defendants a/w defendants.

Ld. Counsel appearing on behalf of defendant no. 1 and 2 has filed written statement alongwith reply to the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC. Taken on record. Copy supplied.

The written statement is accompanied with an application seeking condonation of delay and it is submitted on behalf of the counsel for defendant no. And 2 that the delay was unintentional and the he is ready to compensate the plaintiff for the delay which has arisen in the present matter.

Subject to a cost of Rs. 1000/-, the written statements as filed by defendant no. 1 and 2 are taken on record and the application under Order 8 Rule 1 CPC are taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he has filed replication to the written statement as filed by defendant no. 3 alongwith reply to the application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC and also under Order 10 Rule 2 CPC. Copy supplied.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 3 submits that at this stage, he is not pressing his application under Order 7 Rule 11 CPC.

The same is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

Ld. Counsels appearing on behalf of defendant no.1 to 3 submits that they are not intending to sell, alienate or create third party rights or interest in the suit property during the disposal of the present suit.

In view of the statement made, the application under Order 39 Rule 1 and 2 CPC stands allowed.

At this stage, the parties submit that there is a possibility of settlement in the present matter and as such they be referred to the mediation centre.

In view of the same, **the parties are hereby referred to the mediation centre and are directed to appear before the mediation centre on 21.07.2025 at**

12:30 PM and before the court for the report of the settlement, if any, or for further proceedings on 02.09.2025.

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025

26.05.2025

CS No.

Present:

Sachin Sood
DJ-01, Central, THC
Delhi, 26.05.2025