

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as this application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C for 45 days moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Sandeep @ Bablu is placed before me since the matter is pending before this Court. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Suraj Prakash, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the interim bail application has already been received.

Pursuant to previous order, report has been received from concerned Jail Superintendent.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that due to typographical mistake, the interim bail of 45 days has been wrongly typed and the present application may be considered for interim bail for 90 days.

Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused has submitted that the present matter is pending before this Court. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offences, all the allegations leveled by the police officials are false & baseless and has been falsely implicated in the present case. He has further submitted that applicant/accused was granted interim bail & he did not misuse the liberty so granted. Ld. Counsel has further submitted that investigation has already been completed & applicant/accused is no more required for any further investigation. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is permanent resident of Delhi and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the evidence, he undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed by the Court and is ready to furnish sound surety. He has lastly prayed for grant of interim bail for 90 days to the applicant/accused.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused.

As per report received from the concerned Jail Superintendent, applicant/accused Sandeep @ Bablu was admitted in jail on 27/11/2017 in the present case and he was released on interim bail for 45 days on 20/06/2020 and after expiry of interim bail, he had surrendered in the said jail on 02/04/2021. It is further mentioned that conduct of the applicant/accused is "Good" as no

DLNT01-002121-2018
Session case no. 161/2018
IA/6/21
FIR No. 467/2017
PS-Adarsh Nagar
State Vs- Satish & Ors. (**Sandeep @ Bablu**)
U/s-302/307/324/34 IPC
& u/s 25/27 Arms Act

:2:

punishment is recorded against him till date. As per report of Medical Officer-I/C, Jail Dispensary, Central Jail no.1, Tihar, New Delhi, the applicant/accused is a known case of bronchial asthma and under review and treatment by jail duty doctors and jail visiting medicine SR, skin and Ortho SR and his general condition is stable.

Heard. Keeping in view the fact that conduct of the applicant/accused in jail is "good" & punishment is recorded against him till date, also in view of the fact that earlier he was granted interim bail and he surrendered before the concerned Jail authorities after expiry of the same and did not misuse the same & further in view of the fact that there is no previous involvement of the applicant/accused, a lenient view is taken against him in terms of the guidelines of HPC of Hon'ble Delhi High Court dated 04/05/2021. Accordingly, **the applicant/accused is ordered to be released on interim bail for 90 days from the date of his release on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 35,000/- to the satisfaction of the concerned Jail Superintendent. However, the applicant/accused is directed to surrender before the concerned Jail Superintendent after expiry of interim bail period.** He is further directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be tagged with the main case file.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

DLNT01-004166-2019
Session Case no. 256/2019
FIR No. 485/2018
PS-Bawana
State Vs-Harsh @ Deepak @ Joni & Ors. (Manoj @ Toni @ Chhotu)
U/s-307/174A/34 IPC

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as this application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Manoj @ Toni @ Chhotu) is placed before me since the regular matter is pending before this Court. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Akshay Shokhanda, Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that he has filed the present application for grant of regular bail, but the same may be treated for interim bail in terms of the latest guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble Delhi High Court dated 04/05/2021.

Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned Jail Superintendent, with direction to produce the certificate of good conduct, the custody warrant stating the total custody period of applicant/accused in the judicial custody & previous involvement of the applicant/accused, if any, on next date of hearing. He is further directed to apprise this Court whether this applicant/accused was earlier granted interim bail and if yes, whether he had surrendered timely before the jail after expiry of interim bail period or not.

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **17/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of anticipatory bail u/s 438 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Deepak Singh @ Deepu is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Ritwik Mittal & Sh. Dheeraj Pandey, Id. counsels for the applicant/accused.

: IO SI Parvesh.

Reply to the bail application has been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant that this applicant is neither named anywhere in the present FIR nor in the statements recorded u/s 161 Cr.P.C. and the facts stated in the FIR are fabricated, concocted and without any basis and investigating agency is trying to falsely implicate him in the present case. He has further submitted that name of the applicant/accused was revealed firstly in the status report filed in the bail application on 14.03.2021. He has further submitted that one of the accused i.e. Hemant @ Ritik whose name is mentioned in the FIR, has already been granted bail on 13/04/2021 by the Court of Ms. Smita Garg, Id. ASJ, Rohini Courts, Delhi. He has further submitted that another accused namely Deepak Singh @ Lulla who had allegedly opened fire, has also been granted bail vide order dated 19/04/2021 by the concerned Magisterial Court. He has further submitted that as per CCTV footage of the incident, it is clear that the applicant was nowhere involved in the alleged incident, which shows that investigating agency is trying to falsely implicate the present applicant. He has further submitted that as per the wording of FIR, it is clearly admitted by the complainant that the dispute is related to property between the complainant and his brother i.e. father of co-accused Hemant. Id. Counsel has further submitted that there is delay of more than 13 hours in lodging of the FIR as the incident took place on 14/03/2021 at 8.30 p.m., whereas the present FIR was registered on 15/03/2021 at 9.45 a.m., which itself shows that the FIR is registered with undue influence of the complainant solely on the basis of false and self concocted story. He has further submitted that there is no public witness in the present FIR, though the occurrence took place in the presence of crowd. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant will never misuse the liberty of anticipatory bail in case the same is granted, undertakes to co-operate in whole trial, is ready to abide by any condition whatsoever put upon him, he is permanent resident of Delhi & as such there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with prosecution evidence, is ready to join the investigation as and when directed to do so and is ready to abide by all the terms which this court may imposed upon him while granting anticipatory bail to him. He has lastly prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/accused. In support of his submission, Id. Counse for the applicant has relied upon the judgment **in the case of Rajesh Ranjan Yadav Vs Pappu Vs CBI & Ors., CC no. 33/1,**

:2:

u/s 195 IPC r/w section 340 Cr.P.C., PS Subzi Mandi, date of decision 27/11/2014 passed by Sh. Pooran Chand, Id. CMM, Central District, Tis Hazari Courts, Delhi, judgment of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in the case of **State through CBI Vs Dawood Ibrahim Kaskar & Ors., date of decision 07/05/1997** and of Hon'ble Rajasthan High Court in the case of **Abdul Rehman & Anr. Vs State of Rajasthan, date of decision 10 May 2007.**

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of anticipatory bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that other co-accused have been granted bail since they have spent 1½ months in custody, whereas the present applicant is seeking anticipatory bail. He has further submitted that investigation is still going on and there are contradictions in CCTV footage and contents of FIR.

IO has submitted that this applicant had made the planning with other co-accused.

Heard. No offence u/s 120B IPC qua conspiracy has been added nor any conspiracy is found to be hatched from the statements of the witnesses recorded u/s 161 Cr.P.C. The name of the applicant has not been specifically mentioned, but it is mentioned that fire was made by co-accused and gun was taken by this applicant from the said co-accused. There is no specific role of the applicant/accused in the commission of the offences. Considering these facts and circumstances and also keeping in view the statements recorded u/s 161 CrPC, **it is ordered that in the event of arrest, the applicant/accused shall be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs 20,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned IO/SHO.** However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the anticipatory bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

Bail application no.1449/2021
FIR No.143/2021
PS-Narela Industrial Area
State Vs-Deepak @ Tunda
U/s-392/397/411/34 IPC

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Deepak @ Tunda is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364,dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Suraj Prakash Sharma, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

:

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

In terms of previous order, neither the IO is present nor any report qua status of previous involvements of the applicant/accused and copies of statements qua the role of the applicant/accused have been filed. **Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned IO, with direction to file written explanation as to why the aforesaid report & statements in terms of previous order dated 06/05/2021 have been filed. He is further directed to appear through webex on next date of hearing, having meeting ID 176-157-5605 and to file the status report qua previous involvement of applicant/accused and statements qua role of applicant/accused on next date of hearing.**

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **13/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

Bail application No. 1453/2021

FIR No. 75/2020

PS-Bhalswa Dairy

Kuldeep Kaushik Vs The State (Govt. of NCT, Delhi)

U/s-363/365/368/370/120B/34 IPC

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of interim bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. for a period of 90 days moved on behalf of applicant/accused Kuldeep Kaushik is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364 dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex : Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Jujhar Singh, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

Fresh reply to the application has been received.

Verification report qua the medical documents of son of the applicant/accused has been received in terms of previous order.

Detailed status report qua the health condition of the applicant/accused has not been received from the concerned Jail Superintendent. **Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of concerned Jail Superintendent, with direction to file the detailed status report qua the health condition of the applicant/accused, produce the certificate of good conduct, the custody warrant stating the total custody period of applicant/accused in the judicial custody & previous involvement of the applicant/accused, if any, on next date of hearing. He is further directed to apprise this Court whether this applicant/accused was earlier granted interim bail and if yes, whether he had surrendered timely before the jail after expiry of interim bail period or not.**

Put up for consideration of the bail application on **15/05/2021**.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)

Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)

Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

At this stage at 12.21 p.m., medical status report of applicant/accused Kuldeep Kaushik has been received from concerned Jail Superintendent.

(Shivaji Anand)

Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)

Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

Bail application No. 1456/2021

FIR No. 83/2020

PS-Adarsh Nagar

State Vs-Ritik @ Bhola

U/s-326/342/365/34 IPC

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This 2nd application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C. moved on behalf of applicant/accused Ritik @ Bhola is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364 dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex : Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

: Sh. Rakesh Kaushik, Id. counsel for the applicant/accused.

: ACP Sh. Sanjay Drall & Inspector C.P. Bhardwaj, SHO, PS Adarsh Nagar are present on behalf of DCP concerned.

Reply to the bail application has already been received.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that applicant/accused went to the police station for his surrender and there he was arrested by the police officials of PS Adarsh Nagar on 28/01/2021, was produced before the Court on 29/01/2021 and was sent to J.C. same day and since then he is in judicial custody. He has further submitted that applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case by the police officials of PS Adarsh Nagar and nothing has been recovered from his possession. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is an innocent person and has nothing to do with the alleged offence as mentioned in the present FIR. He has further submitted that the applicant/accused is permanent resident of Delhi and there is no chance of his absconding or tampering with the prosecution evidence. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is a young boy of 19 years, is helping his father who is running a factory. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused neither know the complainant nor having any contact with him at any point of time and the present FIR is false and he has been falsely implicated by the complainant in connivance with the police. Id. Counsel has further submitted that other case under POCSO Act was filed on behalf of the family of the complainant side and that case, the applicant/accused was granted bail within one month. He has further submitted that first bail application of the applicant/accused was dismissed by the Court of Sh. Ms. Smita Garg, Id. ASJ, Rohini Courts, Delhi, vide order dated 07/04/2021. He has further submitted that as per the HPC guidelines and due to COVID-19 pandemic, the applicant/accused may be released on bail as he is 19 years of age and is not a previous convict. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is not required for any further custodial interrogation as there is no need of him for further investigation and nothing is remained to be recovered from his possession or at his instance. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to submit himself to any condition(s) which the Court may impose upon him & is ready to produce a sound surety for the satisfaction of the Court, if he is granted bail. He has lastly prayed for grant of regular bail to the applicant/accused.

On the last date of hearing, Id. Addl. PP for the State had submitted that Section 307 IPC should have been invoked in view of the contents of the FIR.

:2:

ACP Sh. Sanjay Drall & Inspector C.P. Bhardwaj, SHO, PS Adarsh Nagar have stated that DCP concerned is unable to appear today through webex since he is COVID-19 positive and is in home isolation. They have further submitted that in regard to Section 307 IPC, they have already initiated action against the concerned IO and outrightly query raised by the Hon'ble Court as well as by Id. Prosecutor is accepted and appropriate actions are being taken in this regard and supplementary charge-sheet will also be filed at the earliest.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that one case u/s 376 IPC is against the applicant/accused. He has further submitted that as per the contents of present FIR, this applicant/accused had caused multiple stab injuries on the person of the complainant/injured and since he was having connectiona with the police, section 307 IPC is not invoked in the present matter.

Heard. From the contents of present FIR, prima facie it is clearly apparent that multiple stab injuries were caused on the person of complainant/injured by this applicant/accused. Even the submission of Id. Addl. PP for the State that applicant/accused was having connections with the police, while registering the case and as such section 307 is not invoked, is acceptable. Keeping in view these facts and circumstances & also in view of the fact that there is previous involvement of the applicant/accused, the present bail application is dismissed and is disposed of accordingly.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)

Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)

Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

This application for grant of regular bail u/s 439 Cr.P.C moved on behalf of above named applicant/accused Pankaj Sharma is placed before me in terms of order is placed before me in terms of order No.Judl./Bail/North/RC/2021/15340-15364 dated 29.04.2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judge, North, Rohini Courts, Delhi. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

:Sh. Raj Kumar, Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

It is submitted by Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused that this applicant/accused has been falsely implicated in the present case & he has not committed any offence at all. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is in JC since 25.04.2021. He has further submitted that earlier the bail application of the applicant/accused was dismissed by Id M.M vide order dated 03.05.2021. He has further submitted that nothing else is to be recovered from the applicant/accused and no incriminating circumstance is disclosed against him. He has further submitted that applicant/accused is neither a previous convict nor any other case is pending against him and no purpose would be served by keeping him further behind the bar. Id. Counsel has further submitted that applicant/accused is ready to accept any conditions as may be imposed by the court in case he is granted bail in the present case and has prayed that applicant may be granted bail.

On the other hand, Id. Addl. PP for the State has objected to the grant of bail to the applicant/accused on the ground that he tried to help his co-accused Keshav in fleeing away from the spot on his vehicle champion, who had snatched the mobile phone from the complainant but was apprehended on the spot with the robbed mobile phone.

Heard. Keeping in view the role of the applicant/accused, also in view of the fact that recovery has already been effected and further in view of the fact that though there is one previous involvement of the applicant/accused in a traffic challan case, but he has already been convicted in the said case, also keeping in view the circumstances created due to COVID-19 pandemic and further in view of the latest guidelines of High Powered Committee of Hon'ble High Court dated 04/05/2021, a lenient view is taken against the applicant/accused. **Accordingly, he is ordered to be released on bail on his furnishing personal bond in the sum of Rs. 15,000/- with one surety in the like amount to the satisfaction of the concerned Id. MM/Link MM/Duty MM.** However, the applicant/accused is directed not to indulge in any such crime or tamper with evidence or influence the prosecution witnesses and to follow the guidelines qua COVID 19 issued by Government of India

Bail application No. 1503/2021
FIR No. 214/21
PS-Adarsh Nagar
State Vs- Pankaj Sharma
U/s- 356/379/411/34 IPC

:2:

as well as by Govt. of NCT of Delhi. The applicant shall share his phone live location as and when required by the IO. In case, it is prima facie brought to the notice of the Court that the applicant/accused is violating the said conditions, the bail granted to him shall be liable to be cancelled. The bail application is disposed of accordingly. Nothing stated herein shall tantamount to expressing of any opinion over the merits of the case.

Bail application file be consigned to Record Room.

Let copy of this order be sent on the email/ whatsapp of the Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)

12/05/2021

As per latest order of Hon'ble High Court vide no. 2/R/RG/DHC/2021 dated 19/04/2021 & order no. 5/R/RG/DHC.2021 dated 23/04/2021 & subsequent orders dated 19/04/2021 of Id. Principal District & Sessions Judges, North & North-West, Rohini Courts, Delhi & order dated 24/04/2021 of Id. PD&SJ, North, Delhi, all judicial officers of Delhi District Court shall take-up only urgent cases of their respective Courts, through videoconferencing from 27/04/2021 till 15/05/2021 and all other matters shall be adjourned en bloc and information in this regard shall be uploaded on the website(s) of Delhi District Courts.

File taken-up today as verification report qua bail bond furnished on behalf of applicant/accused Kalicharan is placed before me. The same is taken-up through videoconferencing via CISCO Webex App.

Present in the Court : None for parties.

Present through Webex :Sh. Sanjay Jindal, Id. Additional. PP for the State.

As per verification report filed under the signature of ASI Gatam Soni, PS Bawana, Delhi, the surety Surender Kumar is the permanent resident of given address and his documents were found genuine.

In view of the said report, **the bail bond is accepted.**

Let copy of this order under the signature of Reader of this Court with stamp of undersigned be sent to concerned Jail Superintendent for information and compliance. He is further directed to release the applicant/accused forthwith in this case, if not wanted in any other case. It be treated as release warrant.

Let copy of this order be sent on the whatsapp/email ID of Id. Counsel for the applicant/accused.

(Shivaji Anand)
Addl. Sessions Judge: 04 (North)
Rohini Courts: Delhi:12/05/2021(A)