

SRM Foundation of India Vs. Akshay Kumar Sahoo.
Cr. Rev. No. 159/2023

24.11.2023

Present: Sh. Ravi Ranjan, Ld. Counsel for revisionist with AR
of revisionist.

Sh. Ujjawal Kumar, Ld. Counsel for R3.

None for other respondents.

TCR not received.

Today, this matter is fixed for reply/appearance of R2,
R6 and R8/furnishing of fresh address, if any/filing of
vakalatnama/FP.

Perusal of the last order-sheet reveals that the served
respondents including R3 were directed to file reply to the pending
revision as well as to intimate this court about the complete address
of unserved respondents i.e. R2, R6 and R8.

However, neither any reply has been filed nor the
complete addresses of unserved respondents have been furnished
on record.

Ld. Counsel for R3 has sought some time to file reply.
Time is granted in the interest of justice as last opportunity with
directions to the other served respondents to file reply, if any on
NDOH.

In the meantime, revisionist is directed to comply with
the last order qua R2, R6 and R8 so far as service on the alleged
registered address is concerned. Revisionist is directed to take steps
in this regard.

Put up this revision for filing reply / appearance of remaining respondents/FP on 04.01.2024. TCR be called positively for NDOH.

(Vineet Kumar)
ASJ-02/E-Court/Shahdara
KKD/Delhi/24.11.2023