

10 CS No.1749/16

Pratibha Sharma v. Indu Shekhar and ors.

30.03.2024

Present: Plaintiff in person.
Defendant no. 1 in person with ld. Counsel
Sh. Manmohan Satija.
Sh. Yogesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for Defendant no. 1
(through VC).
Sh. Gagan Kumar Singhal, Ld. Counsel for
Defendant no. 2 (through VC) and his proxy
Advocate Sh. Suresh Chaudhary is present in court.

In between, affidavit in terms of Order dated
22.03.2024 has been filed by the Defendant no. 1 on 27.03.2024.

Ld. Counsel for Defendant no. 2 submits that
proposed Defendant Smt. Savita Sharma is served via courier and
he has already filed proof of service on record on 28.03.2024.

As per report of Ahlmad, the notice issued to
proposed defendant is not received back.

Let proposed defendant be served afresh with notice
of application under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC on filing of PF, RC-
AD, speed post, returnable for next date. Steps be taken within
07 days.

Advocate Sh. Yogesh Kumar appearing through VC
submits that he has been engaged by Defendant no. 1 for the
contempt proceedings only.

I have heard arguments on Plaintiff's application
moved under Order 39 Rule 2A CPC (IA No. 01/2024) dated
18.01.2024 and perused the record.

Put up for Orders on 08.04.2024.

(Mohammad Ehtesham)
ADJ-03(Shahdara),
KKD Courts, Delhi
30.03.2024.