

SC No. 183/2019
State Vs. Amit Kumar & anr.
FIR No. 69/2017
PS: M.S. Park
13-12-2019

Present: Sh. Vikas Kumar, Id. Addl. PP for State.
Both the accused are present on bail.
Sh. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate for both the accused.

Case is listed today for PE.

PW-Sh. Harish Kumar and Sh. Rattan Deep are summoned for today, however, they are not present.

PW-2 Sh. Harish Kumar is present. He has been examined and discharged.

Witness Sh. Rattan Deep is present since morning. Sh. Pradeep Sharma, Advocate appeared in the pre lunch session and stated that he does not want to cross-examine Harish Kumar whereas he sought adjournment to cross-examine Rattan Deep on the ground that his file is in the chamber, which is locked due to bar association's election. When this court declined to give adjournment and asked the Id. counsel to go through the court's file, he sought pass over till 2.30 pm. It is already 3.00 pm. At this stage, accused persons submit that their counsel will not cross-examine the witness today and adjournment be given. No ground for adjournment is made out as Id. Counsel for the accused sought adjournment even on the last date of hearing. In view thereof, the right of accused persons to cross-examine the witness stands closed.

Put up for PE on **11.05.2020** and **18.05.2020**. At the request of Id. Addl. PP, let witness mentioned at Sl. No.3,4 & 5 be summoned for 11.05.2020 and witness mentioned at Sl. No.6 & 7 be summoned for 18.05.2020.

(Manjusha Wadhwa)
ASJ-03/Shahdara District
Delhi/13-12-2019