

DAR-RAMFER PAL Vs. MANISH KUMAR MADAN

04.09.2024

Present:- Sh. Madhur Goel, Ld. counsel for the petitioner.
Sh. Vipin Arora, Ld. counsel for respondent no.1 Manish Madan with respondent no.1.
IO/ASI Amit Kumar in person.

Clarification from the IO with respect to non-impleadment of Munni Lal, the registered owner of the offending vehicle sought.

It is submitted by IO that on notice u/s 133 Motor Vehicle Act Munni Lal stated that he had sold the offending vehicle to respondent no.1, namely Manish Madan, however registration has not been effected in the name of respondent no.1.

As per Section 2 (30) of Motor Vehicle Act for the proceedings before this Tribunal for claim, the owner is one who is shown as the registered owner in the official record of the vehicle. Merely by purchasing any motor vehicle one does not become liable as a owner in view of Section 2 (30) of Motor Vehicle Act.

Notice for impleading Munni Lal as respondent in the matter returned un-executed.

Issue fresh notice to Munni Lal on filing of PF through IO.

On behalf of respondent no.1 reply to the application U/O 1 Rule 10 CPC & Section 340 Cr.P.C. filed. Copy supplied to Ld. Counsel for the petitioner.

Put up for further proceedings on **14.11.2024.**

(Vijay Kumar Jha)
PO (MACT-01)/SHD/Delhi
04.09.2024