

IN THE COURT OF MS. SURABHI SHARMA VATS, ASJ-04
(SHAHDARA), KARKARDOOMA COURTS, DELHI

SC No. 77/2025, IA No. 08/2026, IA No. 09/2026

STATE VS. Ravinder Kumar Rajak & Raghav

FIR No. 554/2024

PS: Jagatpuri

U/s 103(1)/3(5) BNS

05.06.2026

This is common order on the application under Section 483 BNSS seeking regular bail moved on behalf of the applicants/accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak and Raghav.

Present: Sh. S.K. Dubey, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Anurag Ahluwalia, Ld. Counsel for the
applicants/ accused.

Arguments on the bail application already heard.

1. It was submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused have been falsely implicated in the present case; that the applicants/accused have nothing to do with the alleged offences; that the initial medical documents of the deceased/victim do not record any history of the physical assault; that the investigation of the present case is complete, chargesheet has already been filed, therefore, no purpose would be served by keeping the applicants/accused behind bars.

2. Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused has further submitted that applicant/accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak was aged about 20 years and was arrested on 20.10.2024 and the applicant/accused Raghav is aged about 19 years was arrested on 12.12.2024; that both the applicant/accused have clean antecedents and are not involved in any other case whatsoever; that the matter is at the stage of trial, however, conclusion of the trial is likely to

take considerable time, therefore, no purpose would be served by keeping the applicants/accused behind bars.

3. It is further submitted by Ld. Counsel for the applicants/accused that the applicants/accused are not named in the present case FIR and have clean antecedents and the co-accused Aman Kanujia who has somewhat similar or graver role as of the applicant/accused has already been granted bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 06.05.2026, therefore, the applicants/accused also deserves to be released on bail on the ground of parity. The applicants/accused are ready to abide by all the terms and conditions and are also ready to furnish reliable surety to the entire satisfaction of the Hon'ble Court. Further, the applicants/accused also undertake to appear before the Court on each and every date of hearing.

4. Per-contra, Ld. Addl. PP for the State has opposed the bail application stating that allegations against the accused/applicant are grave in nature; as per the case of the Prosecution, accused Dhruv @ Golu along with his co-associates including applicants/accused, in furtherance of their common intention, launched an attack upon the victim/deceased Arpit @ Golu resulting in his death.

5. This Court has heard the arguments on this application and has also perused the available record.

6. The applicant/accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak and Raghav are stated to be young boys aged about 26 years and 19 years respectively. The applicant/accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak

is stated to be in JC since 20.10.2024 while the applicant/accused Raghav is stated to be in JC since 12.12.2024. Investigation in the present matter has already been completed and charge-sheet has been filed. Any detailed appreciation or evaluation of evidence and material on record is not warranted at this stage. No previous involvement of the applicants/ accused is reported.

It is submitted that the co-accused Aman Kanaujia who was not named in the FIR and he has somewhat similar or graver role as of the applicants/accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak and Raghav, has already been admitted on bail by the Hon'ble High Court of Delhi vide order dated 06.05.2026.

Considering the totality of the facts, circumstances, parity with co-accused Aman Kanaujia and without commenting on the merits of the case, this Court deems it fit to enlarge the applicants/ accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak and Raghav on bail. Accordingly, it is hereby, directed that the applicants/ accused Ravinder Kumar Rajak and Raghav be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond/surety bond in the sum of Rs. 20,000/- with one surety of like amount, subject to the conditions as mentioned, herein below:

- i) That the accused/ applicants shall convey any change in their address immediately to the I.O. and the Court.
- ii) That accused/ applicants shall appear before the Court on each and every dates of hearing;
- iii) That the accused/ applicants shall not indulge in any kind of activities as alleged against him in the present case;
- iv) That the accused/ applicants shall not tamper with the evidence in any manner;

- v) That the accused/ applicants shall not contact, threaten or influence the complainant or their family members or any of the witnesses.
- vi) That the accused/ applicants shall not leave the Country without prior permission of the Court.
- vii) That the accused/ applicants shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person who is acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer.
- viii) That the accused/applicants will not go or roam around in the vicinity of the complainant and victim.

7. *Nothing expressed hereinabove, shall tantamount to any opinion on the merits of this case.*

8. In compliance of directions of Hon'ble Supreme Court in case titled '***In Re: Policy Strategy for Grant of Bail', Writ Petition (Crl.) No. 04/2021 dated 31.01.2023***', e-copy of this order be sent to applicant/accused via e-mail through concerned Jail Superintendent for information.

9. The application stands disposed of. Copy of order be given dasti to Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused and to the State as well.

(SURABHI SHARMA VATS)
ASJ-04, Shahdara/KKD Courts,
Delhi/05.06.2026