

20 CS 1601/16
KAMLESH Vs. PREM KUMAR SARAWAT

20.08.2025

At 12:33pm

Present: None for plaintiff.

Sh. Sudhir Sharma, Ld. Counsel for D-1 namely Sh.
Prem Kumar Sehrawat through VC.

D-2 deleted vide order dated 16.11.2024.

Ld. counsel for D-1, on instructions submits that D-1 does not wish to file reply to the application U/s 151 CPC. He further submits that he is ready to address arguments straightaway. He further submits that application does not disclose sufficient reason for permitting plaintiff to examine her son on her behalf in the present suit. He further submits that D-1 has no objection, if LC be appointed in the present matter for recording the evidence of plaintiff at her residence. He further submits that he is relying upon the judgment passed by *the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India passed in "A.C. Narayanan Vs. State of Maharashtra and Anr." 2013 (11) SCALE 360*. He further submits that he will file the copy of the said judgment on or before NDOH.

None has appeared on behalf of plaintiff despite repeated calls.

In view of the circumstances, matter be adjourned.

Put up for arguments on the application under Section 151 CPC and PE on **13.11.2025**.

(Deepanker Mohan)
District Judge-04
Shahdara District/KKD Courts/Delhi
20.08.2025