

IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUCHIRAPPALLI

**Present: Thiru. M. Christopher, B.A., B.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli**

Wednesday, the 12th day of August 2026
Crl.M.P. No. 2992/ 2026

1. Justin Isac Pandian, aged 36/26, S/o. Arockiyasami
 2. Adaikalamary, aged 40/26, D/o. Arockiyasami
 3. Little Hema Santhosamary, aged 33/26, D/o. Arockiyasami
 4. Francis Saveriiyar, aged 48/26, S/o. Irudhayaraj
 5. Irudhayaraj, aged 75/26, S/o. Sabasthiyar
- ...Petitioners

Vs.

Inspector of Police,
Kallakudi P.S., Trichy Cr.No. 108/2026

...Respondent

This petition coming before me for hearing, on this day, in the presence of Thiru. I Anand, Public Prosecutor for the State and of advocate Thiru. K. Benjamin, for the petitioners and after hearing both sides and considering these necessary materials, this court today passed the following:-

ORDER

It is an application for anticipatory bail filed u/s. 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023.

FIR was registered against the petitioners for the offences u/s. 191(2), 296(b), 303, 324(4), 329 and 351(2) of BNS, 2023. The occurrence happened on 26.05.2025.

The learned counsel for the petitioners contended that the petitioners are innocents and they have not committed any such offence and praying to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor contended that the petitioners damaged the house of the defacto complainant and no previous case is pending against the petitioners and the investigation is pending and hence objected to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

Heard both sides. Records perused. On perusal of records would show that the residing house of the defacto complainant is an ancestral property and subsequently, he purchased the nearest place of the house and he enjoyed and possessed the property and in the meantime, the petitioners trespassed into the house of the defacto complainant and stole the household articles in the house and demolished the house by using JCB and attempted to attack the defacto complainant with iron rod and worth of the damage is Rs.1,00,000/- and no previous case is pending against the petitioners. The occurrence happened on 26.05.2025. By this time, major portion of the investigation would have been completed. Considering the fact that there is a civil dispute between the petitioners and the defacto complainant and also, only on the court direction, the FIR was registered and the offences said to have been committed by the petitioners are bailable and considering the above stated aspects, this court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners with following conditions;

1. The petitioners/accused shall in the event of arrest by the respondent police or on their surrender before the Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi on or before 27.08.2026 be released on bail on their executing a bond for a sum of Rs.25,000/- each with two sureties each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the respondent Police or the Police Officer who intends to arrest or to the satisfaction of the Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi.
2. The petitioners/accused shall appear and sign before the respondent police station daily at 10.00 A.M., for a period of 15 days.
3. The petitioners/accused shall make themselves available for interrogation by the police officer as and when required.
4. The petitioners/accused shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade them from disclosing such facts to the court or to any police officer.
5. The petitioners/accused shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial.
6. The petitioners/accused shall not abscond either during investigation or trial.
7. The learned Magistrate/Trial court on breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, is entitled to take appropriate action against the petitioners/accused in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners/accused were released

on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Judge himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in P.K.Shaji Vs. State of Kerala {(2005) AIR SCW 5560}.

8. If the petitioners/accused thereafter abscond, a fresh FIR can be registered u/s. 269 of BNS, 2023.

Pronounced by me, in the open court, on this the 12th day of August 2026.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Tiruchirappalli.

Copy to:

1. The Petitioner through petitioner's counsel.
2. The Judicial Magistrate, Lalgudi, Tiruchirappalli.
3. The Inspector of Police, Kallakudi P.S., Tiruchirappalli.