

CS 418/2014 976/16
MUNNAWAR KHAN Vs. MUNNA KHAN

07.10.2023

Present: Sh. Kashif Ahmad Khan, Id. proxy Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Shakil Ahmad alongwith Sh. Shawed Jameel, Id. Counsel for defendant with defendant.

Matter is listed for final arguments today.

However, counsel for the plaintiff had moved an application u/o 18 rule 17A for recording of evidence on behalf of plaintiff.

Reply to the said application filed today by the counsel for the defendant.

Arguments on the aforesaid application heard.

Counsel for the plaintiff submits that the witnesses mentioned in the list are necessary witnesses for the just decision of the present case.

Per contra, counsel for the defendant submits that he has strong objection in case the present application is allowed on the ground that the matter is now at the stage of final arguments.

In the interest of justice, application of the plaintiff is allowed as requested by the counsel for the plaintiff only in respect of two witnesses mentioned at serial no. 2 and 4, subject to cost of Rs.10,000/- to be paid by the plaintiff to the defendant on the NDOH. Let necessary steps be taken by the plaintiff for summoning of witnesses for the NDOH.

It is made clear that both the aforesaid witnesses be examined on the NDOH together failing which no further opportunity will be given for examination of these two witnesses.

Put up for PE on 12.01.2024.

(Mohammad Ehtesham)

ADJ-03, Shahdara

KKD Courts, Delhi

07.10.2023