

20 Bail Matters 425/2026
STATE Vs. RAHUL ALIAS SUCHCHA
FIR No.3/2026
PS Kashmere Gate
under Section 306/317(2)/3(5) BNS

11.03.2026

Video Conferencing facility is active throughout the proceedings during the day.

This is application under Section 483 Bhartiya Nagrik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 for grant of bail moved on behalf of the applicant Rahul @ Suchcha.

Present: Sh. Pankaj Kumar Ranga, Ld. Addl. PP for the State.
Sh. Umesh Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the
applicant/accused.

TCR received. Taken on record.

Arguments heard. Record perused.

ORDER ON THE BAIL APPLICATION:

Vide this order, this Court shall adjudicate upon the bail application filed under Section 483 BNSS on behalf of the applicant Rahul @ Suchcha.

1. Ld. Counsel for applicant/accused submitted that the applicant/accused is falsely implicated in the present FIR and is running in JC since 07.01.2026.

2. It is further averred that the allegations made against the applicant/accused are false, frivolous and vexatious and lack in the material substances. It is further averred that the applicant/accused has nothing to do with the alleged offences and

he is innocent.

3. It is further averred that applicant/accused has not been named in the present FIR, no role has been assigned to him, prima facie no offence has been made out against him, nothing incriminating has been recovered at his instance, there is no CCTV footage reflecting happening of incident in question and applicant/accused does not have any previous involvement.

4. It is further averred that the accused/applicant is no more required for the custody as investigation qua him is complete and charge-sheet has already been filed and no useful purpose would be served by keeping him behind the bars. It is further averred that trial will take long time. It is further averred that the accused/applicant undertakes to abide by all the terms and conditions imposed on the applicant in case the bail is granted.

5. Ld. counsel for applicant/accused has relied upon following judgments:

(a) *Satender Kumar Antil Vs. CBI (2022 SC)*

(b) *Arnesh Kumar Vs. State of Bihar (2014 SC)*.

(c) *Prabhakar Tewari Vs. State of UP (2020) 11 SCC 648*

6. Ld. Addl. PP for the State has vehemently opposed the bail application on the ground that the nature of crime is heinous and material/public witnesses are yet to be examined and bail at this stage could raise the apprehensions to witnesses and same would raise the impediments in the free and fair trial. It is further submitted that judgments/citations relied upon by Ld. Counsel for the applicant/accused are not applicable to case in hand as the

facts and circumstances of said cases are different from the present case. Further, if the applicant is granted bail, he may influence the witnesses. It is prayed that application be dismissed.

7. I have heard the submissions and perused the record and relied upon citation carefully.

8. Perusal of the TCR reveals that charge is yet to be framed and witnesses are yet to be examined.

9. Perusal of the reply filed by the IO reveals that present FIR was registered for the offence under Section 306/317(2)/3(5) BNS. It further reveals that one bag was recovered nearby the house of applicant/accused which was duly identified by the complainant. It further reveals that applicant/accused has not cooperated in the investigation as well as in the recovery of case property. It further reveals that there is apprehension that accused/applicant can commit further offence, tamper with evidence, can influence the witnesses and can jump the bail on being released.

10. In view of aforesaid facts and circumstances, considering the gravity of the offence, seriousness of the allegations levelled against the accused/applicant, fact that material witnesses are yet to be examined, apprehension that accused/applicant can commit further offence, tamper with evidence, can influence the witnesses and can jump the bail on being released and finding force in the submissions made by Ld. Addl. PP for the State and in the reply of IO, this Court is not inclined to enlarge accused/applicant **Rahul @ Suchcha** on bail at this stage. Accordingly, the present bail application stands

dismissed and disposed off.

11. Needless to say, the above-mentioned observations are predicated solely on the facts as alleged, and brought forth at this juncture, and are not finding on merits, and would also have no bearing on the merits of the case. Application stands disposed off.

Copy of this order be sent to SHO concerned for information. Copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for accused as well as to the Ld. Prosecutor for record.

TCR be sent back to the Trial Court.

Order be uploaded on CIS.

(SHILPI JAIN)
ASJ-02, Central, THC
Delhi/11.03.2026