

SC 17/2023
STATE VS. SAYED MOHD. UMAR
FIR NO.104/2019
PS SEEMA PURI

13.02.2026

Present : Sh. Ramesh Bajiya, Ld. Addl. PP (substitute) for the State.
Sh. Himalaya Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the complainant (through VC).
Accused Sayed Mohd. Umar is absent.
Sh. Sushant Dutta, Ld. Counsel for the accused.

An application seeking exemption from personal appearance of accused Sayed Mohd. Umar has been moved by the counsel for the accused. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the application is allowed and the personal appearance of the accused is exempted for today.

An application under Section 315 CrPC has been filed on behalf of the accused as he intends to step into the witness box in his defence. Copy supplied. Heard. The application is allowed.

DW1 Ms. Saima Umar is present. However, her cross-examination is deferred at the request of Ld. Addl. PP for the State. She is bound down for the next date.

It is pointed out by the counsel for the accused that an application under Section 448 of BNSS seeking joint trial of State cases arising out of FIRs No. 104/2019 & 725/2019 is pending. Copy supplied. Ld. Addl. PP for the State states that assistance of the IO is required. Accordingly, let a report be called from the IO as to whether both the aforesaid FIRs arise out

of the same incident.

Re-list for DE on **24.02.2026**.

(SANJAY GARG-II)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
Shahdara, KKD Delhi/13.02.2026