

SC No.17/2023
State Vs. Sayed Mohd. Umar
FIR No.104/2019

Present : Sh. A.K. Mishra, Ld. Chief PP for the State.
Accused is present on bail alongwith Ld. Counsel
Sh. Akhil Anand.
IO/Inspector Shashi Kant in person.
Sh. Himalaya Gupta, Ld. Counsel for complainant.

IO has brought the CD player. Ld. Counsel for accused submits that he has not received copy of the CD in compliance under Section 207 Cr. PC before the Trial Court. Ld. Chief PP denies this fact stating that the CD is one of the document in the list of documents filed alongwith the chargesheet and such an objection should have been raised by the accused before the court of Ld. MM, who was supposed to make compliance of the provisions of Section 207 Cr. PC and was required to supply the deficient documents / CD to the accused. Though, the request of the counsel for accused is not maintainable at this stage before this court, however, in the interest of justice, IO has supplied another copy of the CD to the accused today in the court. CD is played on the court computer with the help of the CD player brought by the IO.

PW-8 HC Naresh, Crime Team is examined and discharged.

Previous cost of Rs.700/- is paid to PW-6 Sajjaudin, who is present for his cross-examination. However, adjournment is requested by counsel for the accused. The same is granted, subject to payment of cost of Rs.1,400/-, to be paid to the witness on the next date towards the cost for today. Put up for RPE on **13.11.2024**.

(RENU BHATNAGAR)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
Shahdara, KKD Delhi/15.10.2024