

CNR No. PBSG01006690-2020

BA -3074-2020

Balwinder Kaur Versus State.

FIR No.124 Dated 10.06.2020.

U/s 302, 201, 460, 34 IPC.

Police Station: Sadar Sangrur.

Present: Shri Vikas Sharma, Advocate, counsel for applicant  
Balwinder Kaur.  
Shri Haqiqat Goyal, Additional PP for the State.

ORDER

This order shall dispose of the bail application filed by the applicant-accused under Section 439 Cr.P.C, for releasing him on regular bail.

2. Notice of the bail application was given to State. The learned Additional PP for the State, made appearance on behalf of the State and got produced the record.

3. I have heard the learned Counsel for applicant, Additional PP for the State and also perused the record.

4. It is submitted by the applicant as well as argued by his counsel that no offence has been committed by the applicant. She has been falsely implicated in the present case at the behest of husband of her sister-in-law, with whom she has strained relations. There is no evidence against her for causing the murder of Jagrup Singh her father-in-law. She has been wrongly nominated on the basis of disclosure statement of her

husband Gurmeet Singh. In fact, her husband never suffered such a statement. She is ready to abide by all the conditions to be imposed by the Court. Hence, the prayer for relief of regular bail.

5. On the other hand, while controverting his contentions, the learned Additional PP for the State has vehemently argued that the applicant has caused the murder of her father-in-law. As allegations against the applicant are grave in nature, he does not deserve the leniency of the Court in grant of relief of regular bail.

6. FIR in the present case was got lodged on the basis of statement of complainant Chamkaur Singh, son-in-law of Jagrup Singh. Allegedly, Jagrup Singh owned 14 bighas of land and was residing alone in a separate house. Gurmeet Singh (son of Jagrup Singh) along with his wife Balwinder Kaur ( present applicant) and son Harinder Singh @ Harry intend to usurp this land. They had already got transferred the house, where he was residing, in their favour forcibly. On 09.06.2020 at about 10:00 PM, Jagrup Singh made a telephonic call to his daughter Sukhwinder Kaur, that *Gurmeet Singh, his wife Balwinder Kaur and son Harinder Singh @ Harry were impressing upon him to have the milk, but he refused. Earlier also, when they did so, he served the milk to the dog, who died after consuming the same.* On hearing so, Sukhwinder Kaur asked her father to come on next morning. On 10.06.2020, at about 7:00 AM, Gurmail Singh disclosed him on telephone regarding death of Jagrup Singh. He coupled with Sukhwinder Kaur reached the house of Jagrup

Singh, where his dead body was lying on the cot. The dead body was having injuries below the knee, scratch marks on mouth and string of his underwear was open. After removing his underwear, he saw that his testicles had been damaged badly. They came to know that Gurmeet Singh, his wife Balwinder Kaur, son Harinder Singh @ Harry and Amar Singh friend of Harinder Singh @ Harry, in connivance with each other have committed the murder of Jagrup Singh on the last night. After arranging vehicle, the dead body was got admitted in the mortuary of Civil Hospital, Sangrur.

During interrogation, Gurmeet Singh made a disclosure statement regarding the modus operandi for committing the murder of Jagrup Singh by him together with his wife Balwinder Kaur, son Harinder Singh @ Harry and Amarjit Singh @ Amar.

7. The applicant-accused coupled with her accomplices is alleged to have committed the murder of Jagrup Singh. Murder is an offence against the society. The allegations are too grave to release her on regular bail. So far the contentions of the learned counsel for applicant are concerned, those are matters to be appreciated only after taking evidence during trial. The challan in this case has already been presented and is pending before the learned Illaqa Magistrate. At this stage, it is too early to jump to any conclusion for the purpose of bail. Therefore, there is every likelihood that if granted bail, the applicant may attempt to hamper the process of the trial in one way or the other. Moreover, it would not

give a right signal to the society. Therefore, without further delving into the merits of the case and in view of the serious allegations against the present applicant, the court is of the opinion that the applicant-accused is not entitled to the concession of bail. Consequently, the bail application is **dismissed**.

Police record be returned. Bail papers be consigned to the Record Room, Sangrur.

Announced in open Court.

Dated: 13.10.2020.

Rajiv Kumar, JW.

(Dr. Rajneesh)  
Additional Sessions Judge,  
Sangrur ( UID-PB0172)

Certified that the order has been directly dictated by the undersigned.

Dr. Rajneesh  
Additional Sessions Judge  
Sangrur ( UID-PB0172)