

KABC030320042023



IN THE COURT OF THE VIII ADDL. C.M.M., BENGALURU.

PRESENT:- Sri. Nagesha. C, B.A.L., LL.B
VIII ADDITIONAL C.M.M,
BENGALURU.

Dated this the 16th Day of August, 2023

Crl.Misc.No.4597/2023

Petitioner: Mahila Co-operative Bank Ltd
No.13 & 14, South End Road
Sheshadripuram
Bengaluru – 560 020
Represented by its
Authorised Officer
Smt.Yamuna P

(By Sri.R.N., Advocate)

- Vs -

Respondents: Raju L s/o late Lakshmaiah
No.14, Janatha Colony
Near Solapuradamma Temple
Nayandahalli, Mysore Road
Bengaluru – 560 039

ORDERS ON PETITION FILED U/S 14 OF SARFAESI ACT

The Petitioner has filed this petition seeking to take physical possession of schedule property.

2. The case of the petitioner in brief is that it is a financial institution and secured creditor as defined in the SARFAESI Act. The respondents have availed loan for a sum of Rs.43,00,000/- with the petitioner bank by creating charge on the property i.e., property being land with residential building bearing site No.14 in BBMP S.No.91103, Eastern portion of old No.1 in Khata No.46/2 of Nayandahalli Village, Kengeri Hobli, Bengaluru North Taluk, BBMP Ward No.131, Bengaluru measuring 600 sq.ft. Therefore, petition schedule property is secured asset as per SARFAESI Act. The respondents have failed to repay the dues to the petitioner bank. The loan account of the respondents have been classified as Non Performing Asset (NPA). The petitioner has issued statutory demand notice u/s 13(2) of the SARFAESI Act calling upon the

respondents to pay the dues. In spite of the said notice the respondents have not repaid any amount towards realization of outstanding amount within the statutory period of 60 days from the date of the notice. The authorized officer has taken symbolic possession of the properties by affixing copy of possession notice in the conspicuous place of the schedule properties and possession notice was also published in “The New Indian Express” English Daily and “Samyuktha Karnataka” Kannada Daily newspapers. The respondents have not repaid the said loan even after paper publication of the possession notice. The respondents have not delivered vacant physical possession of the properties to the petitioner bank so far. Hence, the petitioner being secured creditor is entitled to take recourse under Section 13(4) of the SARFAESI Act to recover secured debt. Hence, prayed to grant the relief sought in the petition.

3. At this juncture it is profitable to mention the decision of our own Hon'ble High Court reported in **HDB Financial Services Ltd. Vs. M/s. Remo Software Pvt. Ltd, 2018 SCC Online Kar 2484 : AIR 2019 KAR 37**, wherein it is clearly held that “*No notice is necessary to the respondents u/s 14 of the SARFAESI Act 2002.*” In view of this ratio notice to respondents dispensed with.

4. Heard arguments and perused the material on record.

5. Having heard the arguments and on perusal of the records, the points that arise for my consideration are;

1. Whether petitioner is entitled for the relief claimed in the petition?

2. What order?

6. My answer to the above points are:

Point No.1: In the affirmative

Point No.2: As per final order for the following :

REASONS

7. **Point No.1:-** The petitioner through its official has filed affidavit as provided under the Proviso to Section 14. The petitioner has also filed list with documents in support of claim made in the petition. Advocate for petitioner also filed memo suggesting the name of Court Commissioner.

8. From the perusal of the affidavit and documents in the background of petition averments this court is satisfied that the petitioner is a financial institution as per Section 2(m) of SARFAESI Act. The materials on record also show that the respondents have availed credit facility of ₹43,00,000/- and mortgaged the schedule property and same is a secured asset as per Section 2(zc) of the SARFAESI Act. The materials on record show that the respondents have failed to repay the loan amount and loan accounts are classified as non performing asset.

9. Thereafter the petitioner has got issued notice as required u/s 13(2) of SARFAESI Act. It also shows that after expiry of 60 days from the demand notice the petitioner has taken symbolic possession of the schedule properties and has issued possession notice on 09-03-2023 as per Section 13(4) of SARFAESI Act and same was published in the daily newspapers i.e., “The New Indian Express” English Daily and “Samyuktha Karnataka” Kannada Daily on 15-03-2023.

10. On careful perusal of materials on record, this court is satisfied that the petitioner being the secured creditor is entitled to enforce its right with respect to secured asset against the respondents for recovery of the loan dues. Hence, the petitioner is entitled for the relief claimed in the petition. Accordingly, I answered the above point in the affirmative.

11. **Point No.2:** In view of my findings on point No.1, I proceed to pass the following:

ORDER

Petition filed by the petitioner u/s 14 of SARFAESI Act is hereby allowed.

The petitioner is entitled to take possession of petition schedule properties towards satisfaction of loan amount due by the respondents.

Sri.G.Siddaraju, Advocate (Enrollment No.KAR/269/2022) is hereby Court Commissioner to take possession of the petition schedule properties from the respondents and hand it over to the petitioner.

The court commissioner while executing the warrant shall prepare an inventory and report the same to the court.

The commissioner fee is fixed at ₹8,000/- and same shall be directly paid to the Court Commissioner and file a memo in that regard in the office.

If necessary court commissioner may request jurisdictional police for assistance, in which event the jurisdictional police shall provide necessary assistance to court commissioner in taking possession of petition schedule property.

Office to return original documents to the petitioner under proper endorsement and identification.

(Dictated to the stenographer direct on computer, typed by her, verified and corrected by me, signed and then pronounced by me in the open Court on this 16th day of August 2023).

(Nagesha C)
VIII A C M M,
Bengaluru

Order pronounced in the open court vide separately

ORDER

Petition filed by the petitioner u/s 14 of SARFAESI Act is hereby allowed.

The petitioner is entitled to take possession of petition schedule properties towards satisfaction of loan amount due by the respondents.

Sri.G.Siddaraju, Advocate (Enrollment No.KAR/269/2022) is hereby Court Commissioner to take possession of the petition schedule properties from the respondents and hand it over to the petitioner.

The court commissioner while executing the warrant shall prepare an inventory and report the same to the court.

The commissioner fee is fixed at ₹8,000/- and same shall be directly paid to the Court Commissioner and file a memo in that regard in the office.

If necessary court commissioner may request jurisdictional police for assistance, in

which event the jurisdictional police shall provide necessary assistance to court commissioner in taking possession of petition schedule property.

Office to return original documents to the petitioner under proper endorsement and identification.

VIII ACMM, Bangalore